## THE EARTH NEEDS YOUR VOTE



## **19th Annual Legislative Voting Chart** An Environmental Guide to the Members of the State Legislature

California League of Conservation Voters



# The California League of Conservation Voters

The California League of Conservation Voters (CLCV) is the non-partisan political arm of the environmental community in California. The League works to protect the environmental quality of our state by electing candidates to office, holding them accountable and passing environmentally sound ballot propositions.

With 52 Congressional districts and 120 state legislative seats, California clearly presents a formidable challenge to any grassroots organization. To meet this challenge, CLCV conducts early research on candidates for office and concentrates on environmental races where our campaign resources can be expected to make a difference in the outcome of a race.

We back our political endorsements with campaign expertise, assisting candidates with the media, fundraising and grassroots organizing strategies they need to win their races. Each year we assign experienced campaign organizers (known as the Grizzly Corps) to the closest environmental contests in the state. On Election Day we comb the precincts, getting environmental voters to the polls for our candidates.

Each year we publish our *Legislative Voting Chart* to help voters distinguish between the rhetoric and the reality of a legislator's environmental record. In recent years as more and more candidates have sought to appeal to California's "environmental vote," this information has become increasingly important. For more information about CLCV please contact us at one of our two statewide offices:

965 Mission Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 896-5550

10951 West Pico Blvd., Suite 201 Los Angeles, CA 90064 (310) 441-4162

## CLCV Sweeps Environmentalists Into Office

#### **California's Post Election Environmental Tally**

 $\mathbf{B}$  elow is a tally of the number of environmentalists in the California state legislature and Congressional delegation after the November 1992 elections. CLCV-endorsed candidates and incumbents who consistently score more than 80% on the League's annual voting charts are counted as "environmentalists".

E	Environmentalists in elected Office in CA											
	Before Election	Best Case	Worst Case	After Election								
Assembly	38	36	25	41								
State Senate	15	15	14	15								
US Congress	20	29	16	25								
US Senate	1	2	0	2								

The California League of Conservation Voters' 1992 EarthVote campaign combined grassroots field organizing with targeted media and fundraising assistance and played a critical role in helping to elect candidates to state and federal office.

In the state legislature, CLCV targeted 13 races, 12 in the Assembly and 1 in the State Senate. On Election Day environmentalists emerged victorious in 12 of these races. All 9 of our "endangered incumbents" won: Assembly members Byron Sher, Dan Hauser, John Vasconcellos, Jack O'Connell, Terry Friedman, Tom Umberg, Mike Gotch and Deidre Alpert and State Senator Lucy Killea. In addition, all three of our candidates running for open seats were victorious: Valerie Brown, Margaret Snyder and Debra Bowen. Only Jim Beall, who was campaigning to defeat incumbent Santa Clara County Assemblyman Chuck Quackenbush, fell short of the votes he needed.

Environmentalists also had three bonus wins in longshot races that were not targeted for EarthVote campaigning: Julie Bornstein in the 80th AD; Betty Karnette in the 54th AD and Tom Connolly in the 77th AD.

We had anticipated an overall weakening of our position in the legislature after we lost a number of crucial allies to bids for higher office (Lempert, Connelly, Becerra, Roybal-Allard and others). However, our position has actually been strengthened. These victories leave environmentalists with 15 environmental allies in the state Senate (the same number we had going into the election). In the Assembly, we now have 41 environmental allies- 5 seats better than our "best case scenario" of 36!

#### **Registrar of Voters**

Below is a full list of County Registrar of Voters phone numbers to help you determine who your elected representatives are and where your polling place is located. One local call is all it takes to confirm your district and where to cast your vote on Election Day!

-		
Alameda	(510)	272-6933
Alpine	(916)	
Amador	(209)	223-6463
Butte	(916) (209)	
Calaveras		
Colusa	(916)	
Contra Costa		646-2955
Del Norte	(707)	
El Dorado	(916)	
Fresno	(209)	
Glenn	(916)	
Humboldt	(707)	
Imperial	(619)	
Inyo	(619)	
Kern	(805)	
Kings	(209)	582-3211
Lake	(707)	
Lassen	(916)	257-8311
Los Angeles	(213)	721-1100 675-7720
Madera		
Marin		499-6416
Mariposa	(209)	966-2005
Mendocino		463-4370
Merced	(209)	
Mondoc	(916)	233-6201
Mono	(619)	932-5242
Monterey	(408)	
Napa	(707)	253-4481
Nevada	(916)	
Orange	(714)	567-7620
Placer	(916)	
Plumas	(916)	
Riverside	(714)	275-8700
Sacramento	(916)	
San Benito	(408)	
San Bernadino	(714)	387-2083
San Diego	(619)	694-3400
San Francisco	(415)	
San Joaquin	(209)	468-2885
San Luis Obispo	(805)	549-5241
San Mateo	(415)	363-4988
Santa Barbara	(805)	568-2215
Santa Clara	(408)	
Santa Cruz	(408)	454-2800
Shasta	(916)	225-5731
Sierra	(916)	289-3698
Siskiyou	(916)	842-8084
Solano	(707)	421-6675
Sonoma	(707)	527-2611
Stanislaus	(209)	558-6419
Sutter	(916)	741-7120
T 1	(016)	507 2007

(916) 527-3287

(916) 623-1222

(209) 733-6421

(209) 533-5551

(805) 654-2266

(916) 666-8264 (916) 741-6341

Tehama

Trinity

Tulare

Tuolomne

Ventura

Yolo

Yuba

#### Contents

2 Environm	nental Statistics
------------	-------------------

- 3 The Legislative Process
- **3 How To Use This Chart**
- 4 Environmental Legislation
- 11 Assembly Floor Scorecards
- 14 Assembly Committee Scorecards
- 17 Senate Floor Scorecards
- **19** Senate Committee Scorecards
- 21 Assembly Roster
- 24 Senate Roster

#### Acknowledgements


This chart was compiled by CLCV staff members Tracy Grubbs & Rachel Cooke with critical assistance from the following groups: Sierra Club, Planning & Conservation League, Defenders of Wildlife, Californians Against Waste, CalPIRG, V. John White & Associates and the Mountain Lion Foundation. Volunteers Matthew Warburg and Henry Ray also provided valuable assistance.


#### **CLCV Board of Directors**

Winston Hickox President Dian Grueneich Endorsements Committee Chair Susan Bell Development Committee Chair Kirk Markwald Program Committee Chair Jack May Finance Committee Chair Fred Woocher Treasurer Jane Wingfield Secretary

Susan Bay Nimoy Ed Begley, Jr. Lucy Blake Frank Boren Kimo Campbell Roger Carrick Sarah Catz Nicholas Clinch Gigi Coe Jim Compton Martha Davis Mike Eaton Gina Glantz Charles Grace Carolyn Green Paul Growald Michael Kieschnick Mel Lane Kirk Marckwald Ann Notthoff Michael Paparian Will Parish Henry Ray Pat Reeves David Roe Jeanne Sedgewick Tom Soto Susan Watkins

### **Environmental Statistics**





#### **HIGHEST SCORES**

#### Assembly

Alpert (D) 100% Bane (D) 100% Bates (D) 100% Broznan (D) 100% Campbell (D) 100% Chacon (D) 100% Connelly (D) 100% Eastin (D) 100% Elder (D) 100% Farr (D) 100% Freidman B. (D) 100% Freidman T. (D) 100% Hayden (D) 100% Isenberg (D) 100% Katz (D) 100% Klehs (D) 100% Lee (D) 100% Lempert (D) 100% Margolin (D) 100% Roybal-Allard (D) 100% Sher (D) 100% Speier (D) 100% Vasconcellos (D) 100%

#### Senate

Hart (D) 100% McCorquodale (D) 100% Rosenthal (D) 100% Torres (D) 100% Marks (D) 95% Roberti (D) 95% Petris (D) 94% Watson (D) 93%



#### MOST IMPROVED

Assembly Canella (D) 70 to 94 Areais (D) 74 to 92

#### Senate

Hill (R) 50 to 75 McCorquodale (D) 85 to 100

#### **BIGGEST DECLINE**

#### Assembly

Quackenbush (R) 31 to 0 Felando (R) 42 to 13 Umberg (D) 91 to 67 Hunter (R) 48 to 31

#### Senate

Killea (I) 92 to 67 Leonard (R) 23 to 0 Alquist (D) 84 to 65

#### LOWEST SCORES

#### Assembly

Allen (R) 0% Bentley (R) 0% Boland (R) 0% Conroy (R) 0% Ferguson (R) 0% McClintock (R) 0% Mountjoy (R) 0% Nolan (R) 0% Quackenbush (R) 0% Seastrand (R) 0% Wright (R) 0%


#### Senate

Leonard (R) 0% Royce (R) 0% Rogers (R) 5% Lewis (R) 6% Leslie (R) 15% Russell (R) 15%

### How To Use This Chart

The CLCV Legislative Voting Chart evaluates the 1992 California Legislature on a broad range of environmental issues. The votes tabulated in the chart are considered by the environmental community to be the most significant votes for each bill. They are not necessarily the final roll call votes for each bill. Pro-environment votes are designated with an "x" while anti-environment votes are denoted with an "o". Members who were absent or not voting at the time the vote was taken receive an "a" for that vote.

The scores to the right of each legislator's voting record represent the percentage of pro-conservation votes cast, excluding absences. Every legislator who voted on more than half of the key environmental legislation in 1992 is given a score. Members who voted on less than half of the bills listed receive an "a" for "absent". Legislators with four or more cumulative committee votes are given committee scores in addition to their floor scores. We encourage our readers to check their legislators' attendance records and ask them for an explanation if they have missed an unreasonable number of votes. To find out which district you are in and who your state legislators are please call your county registrar of voters. Their numbers are listed on page 1.



### **Speak Out!**

**Y** ou have the power to express your approval or disapproval of your representative's performance by casting an environmental vote on Election Day. It is also important to remember that legislators rarely hear from their constituents. Because so few people take time to contact their representatives, one letter is often counted as representing the opinion of 500 other voters. District office phone numbers are listed in the Assembly and Senate Rosters beginning on page 21. During the legislative session (January through September) letters to representatives can be sent to:

> California State Assembly or State Senate State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-9900

### The Legislative Process

**RULES COMMITTEE** An Assembly member or Senator introduces a bill on the floor. The Rules Committee of the house in which the bill is introduced assigns it to specific committees for consideration. Most bills are assigned to one policy committee (like Natural Resources) and one fiscal com-

mittee (like Appropriations).

FISCAL & POLICY COMMITTEES Once the bill reaches a committee it is up to the committee chairman to decide what to do with the bill. The chairman can allow amendments to be suggested and debated, order studies on various parts of the bill, and refer portions of it to other committees. The chair also has the power to kill a bill singlehandedly by preventing any action from taking place on it. Legislators will often try to weaken, strengthen or kill a bill by attaching amendments to it. Amendments may also be added in order to make the bill palatable to the Governor, thus avoiding a potential veto. Once the bill is in its final form a vote is taken on whether to send the bill out of committee. A bill must receive a majority vote of all members of the committee, not just those present, to move forward. Legislators who abstain from voting are essentially voting "no". If the bill does not pass this vote, it is dead, and must be amended so that it can acquire the votes necessary to move out of committee.



**THE FLOOR** Once a bill has moved through the committees it was assigned to, it reaches the floor and is scheduled for debate and for a vote. Once again legislators have the opportunity to attach amendments to-the bill. In order for an amend-

ment to be added to a bill it must pass by a majority of all members in each house (41 votes in the Assembly, 21 votes in the Senate). Once debate has ended and amendments have been added or defeated, the bill is voted upon. If the bill passes, it is then sent to the other house, where the process (steps 1-3) is repeated and the bill can be passed in identical form, defeated or amended.



**CONCURRENCE** If the bill is amended in a way that is not satisfactory to members of the first house, they can kill the bill in a concurrence vote. As a final step, three members of each house can form a conference committee to work out an

acceptable compromise version of the bill. If a compromise cannot be worked out the bill dies. If a compromise is worked out, the bill goes to the governor.



**THE GOVERNOR** The Governor can sign the bill into law, allow it to become law without his signature, or veto it. If the Governor vetoes the bill, it returns to the legislature for a possible vote to override the veto. The bill must receive a

two-thirds majority in both houses in order to override the Governor's veto. If there are not enough votes to override, the bill dies for that year, and may be introduced again the following year.



### **Environmental Legislation**

The following pages contain brief descriptions of the environmental community's priority bills in 1992. The votes tabulated in the chart are considered by the environmental community to be the most significant votes for each bill. We do not record votes on anti-environmental bills after they have been amended if the environmental community drops its opposition. The last sentence of each bill description explains the final outcome of the bill. The symbols below are used to indicate the governor's action on bills that reached his desk.



= Pro-conservation bills that were signed

= Pro-conservation bills that were vetoed .

### 1992


The second half of the 1991- 1992 legislative session was marked by a series of legislative attacks on California's environmental programs. Environmentalists spent much of the year defending the state's recycling, clean air and toxics laws. The lengthy budget process, combined with severe partisan splits in the legislature also prevented several good conservation bills from reaching the Governor's desk including bills to protect park and wildlife habitat, control urban sprawl and finance groundwater clean up. Although Governor Wilson signed two good coastal protection measures and a water conservation bill, his vetoes demonstrate a lack of support for many other environmental issues. Wilson vetoed a wide range of environmental bills including proposals to increase markets for recycled materials, protect school children and minority communities from toxics, and spur the development of inter-city high speed rail.

The good news from the '92 session was that the legislature managed to withstand several attacks from oil, chemical and real estate interests who were using the recession as an excuse to gut environmental laws. Among other things, the legislature rejected proposals to drastically weaken the California Environmental Quality Act and roll back air quality laws.

#### 1993

A ssembly Speaker Willie Brown set the tone for the 1993-94 session by holding an economic summit in February. Many participants at the summit made environmental regulations the scapegoat for California's economic woes. Once again the environmental community will be bracing for new legislative efforts to compromise California's environmental standards. Legislation will include proposals to apply economic criteria to the Endangered Species Act and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

Environmentalists will also be seeking to broaden the state's recycling laws, stop the Auburn Dam and once again pass a Drive Plus program that would encourage Californians to purchase cleaner burning vehicles.



### **AIR OUALITY**

Urban areas in California have the most persistent air quality problems in the nation. In spite of the landmark California Clean Air Act of 1988, Los Angeles still fails to meet health-based air standards roughly 200 days per year. At least 12 million people in Southern California suffer from health problems which are the direct result of regular exposure to dangerous levels of air pollution.

Unfortunately, environmentalists spent most of 1992 fighting legislation which would weaken California's air quality agencies and air toxics programs. Several threats to clean air laws came in the form of bills to "streamline" the permitting process.

### **Air Toxics**

SB 1731 (Calderon) would have weakened California's air toxics programs, restricting state and local authorities from controlling toxic air emissions

Initially opposed by conservationists. Amended in Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxics Materials Committee. Environmentalists withdrew their opposition. Signed into law.

## Air Districts

AB 2871 (Polanco) would have referred regulatory decisions to agencies which do not have expertise in air quality issues. The bill would have added a costly layer of bureaucracy to environmental regulation and hampered progress toward meeting air quality standards. **Opposed by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Consumer Protection Committee.** 

## **3** Ride Sharing Regulations

SB 1352 (Lewis) would have stripped air quality districts of the authority to implement ride-sharing regulations for employers with less than 100 employees.

Opposed by conservationists. Amended in Assembly Transportation Committee. Environmentalists withdrew their opposition. Killed on Senate Floor (in concurrence).

### COASTAL PROTECTION

The California coastline is one of America's premier natural features. It is also a resource under constant threat from off-shore oil drilling, toxic discharges and illegal development. In 1990 the legislature passed the landmark Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act to prepare for and guard against oil spills. In 1991 the legislature increased the powers of the Coastal Commission to halt illegal construction along the coastline.

In 1992 both Assembly Speaker Willie Brown and Governor Wilson backed away from the opportunity to strengthen the Coastal Commission by refusing to replace developer-backed members with more environmentally oriented commissioners. However, the Governor did sign two coastal sanctuary bills both of which ban untreated ocean discharges and prohibit any new oil or gas leases in state waters along the Northern and Central California coast. The enactment of these bills, AB 10 and AB 854, represents a milestone in coastal protection for California.

## North Coast Sanctuary

AB 10 (Hauser) establishes a coastal sanctuary between the Farallon Islands and the Oregon border. The bill bans all future offshore oil and gas leases in state waters, and mandates the treatment of-all municipal discharges into the ocean. The bill also grants cease and desist authority for the Coastal Commission and the State Lands Commission.

Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.

### **Central Coast Sanctuary**

AB 854 (Lempert) establishes coastal sanctuary from San Simeon Point in San Luis Obispo County north to the Farallon Islands. The bill bans all future offshore oil and gas leases in state waters, and mandates the treatment of all municipal discharges into the ocean. The bill also grants cease and desist authority for the Coastal Commission and the State Lands Commission. Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.

### ENERGY

Energy efficiency is critical if the U.S. is to compete in international markets. The U.S. could save as much as half of what it currently spends on energy by improving its energy efficiency. In 1991 the governor signed a bill to promote fuel efficiency. That same year he vetoed a bill which would have required state-owned vehicles to be low emission or alternative fuel vehicles by 1995.

1992 was not a productive year for energy legislation. Environmentalists succeeded in eliminating the worst excesses of AB 2742 (Peace) which, in its early form, would have allowed utilities to keep highly polluting equipment operating longer than under pre-



viously existing law. However, the final version of the bill still contains a number of loopholes which utilities may use to delay upgrading their old equipment.

## **b** Public Utilities Commision

AB 2742 (Peace) limits the ability of the PUC to consider the cost of pollution when calculating the cost effectiveness of power stations. Allows the trading of air pollution credits without assigning an environmental value to air emissions.

Initially opposed by conservationists. Amended in Senate Energy & Public Utilities Committee. Environmentalists withdrew their opposition. Signed into law.

### FORESTS

The debate over the future of California's forests has been raging in the legislature and at the ballot box for the last several years. The 1991 forestry plan, known as the Sierra Accord, was supported by a coalition of conservationists, labor organizations and timber companies. The legislature passed the Sierra Accord but Governor Wilson vetoed it. The 1992 forestry plan, known as the Grand Accord, did not pass the legislature. CLCV decided not to track the votes on the Grand Accord because there was considerable division over it within the environmental community. Some conservation groups maintained the Grand Accord was a significant improvement over existing law while others stated that it weakened existing law. Unfortunately the inability of the legislature, the timber companies and the environmental community to agree on measures for forest protection makes any forward movement on forestry issues very unlikely for the 1993-94 session.

Terry Friedman deserves credit for attempting to address two other important forestry issues in 1992. Unfortunately his legislation was defeated by the Governor and the legislature.

## **7** Tropical Hardwoods

AB 2469 (Friedman,T.) would have prohibited the state from purchasing tropical hardwoods or hardwood products unless the trees were harvested using sustained yield practices.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.

## **8** Native Oaks

AB 1556 (Friedman, T.) would have protected California's native oak trees which are being decimated by development. The bill's planting and protection program would have been funded by penalty fees. **Supported by conservationists. Killed on Senate Floor.** 

### LAND USE

As the population of California continues to grow, the number of bills to protect open space and agricultural land also continues to increase. One achievement in 1992 was the enactment of SB 1866 (Johnston), the Delta Protection Act. The Sacramento San Joaquin Delta contains California's largest remaining wetlands, the breeding and migration grounds for many species of fish and birds and a recreation area used annually by tens of thousands of people. The Act creates the Delta Protection Commission to oversee the preservation of natural and human resources, agriculture and water quality. The 21 member Commission was to include one environmental representative, but Governor Wilson demanded that this seat be removed from the Commission as a condition for his signature. Senator Johnston acceded to this request and amended the bill to remove the environmental representative.

Although the governor promised during his campaign to make growth management a priority, he and the legislature had trouble agreeing which form the legislation should take. Many land use bills which environmentalists supported failed to get to the governor's desk, making 1992 a disappointing year for land protection efforts.


## 9 Delta Protection Act

SB 1866 (Johnston) creates a Delta Protection Commission to oversee protection of the Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta. The Commission will ensure that development plans in the region do not damage environmentally sensitive areas or hurt agricultural productivity in the Delta region.

Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.

## **10** Agricultural Land

AB 395 (Costa) would have authorized local governments to establish "habitat maintenance districts" to pay for the long-term costs of operating



and maintaining wildlife habitat areas. These areas are set aside to offset the impacts of development projects. Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.

## 11 Bay Area Growth Management

SB 797 (Morgan) was an effective growth management plan for the San Francisco Bay Area. It called for linking urban growth with mass transit systems and protecting, agricultural land and open space around cities. The plan would have required county-level planning to keep decision-making local. Supported by conservationists. Killed on Senate Floor (in concurrence).

# 12 Agricultural Planning (Thompson) would b

SB 161 (Thompson) would have required an inventory of agricultural, open space and watershed lands and the adoption of urban growth lines to protect agricultural land from urbanization.

Supported by conservationists. Killed on Senate Floor (in concurrence).

# 13 Environmental Quality

AB 3681 (Friedman, T.) would have prohibited private project proponents from writing their own Environmental Impact Reports. This safety measure would have helped to ensure the accuracy of such documents.

Supported by conservationists. Killed on Assembly Floor.

### PARKS & WILDLIFE

1992 was a very disappointing year for parks and wildlife habitat protection. Earlier in the session, Governor Wilson and legislative leaders pledged to place a major legislative park and wildlife bond measure on the 1992 ballot and urged conservationists to suspend their efforts to qualify a ballot initiative for those purposes. In deference to the new governor and the legislature, the conservation community suspended

the initiative and worked hard to pass AB 72 (Cortese) which included the governor's \$628 million bond proposal.

Despite the governor's pledges and continued support from many legislators, AB 72 died as a result of partisan bickering over unrelated issues, lukewarm support from the Administration and an historic delay in approving the State Budget. As a result, we will lose the opportunity to save critical park and wildlife areas because key state agencies are nearly out of acquisition funds. Efforts to protect endangered species have also been hamstrung and state and local parks will continue to deteriorate.

## Park Bond Act

AB 72 (Cortese) would have placed Governor Wilson's \$628 million "Resourceful California" bond act on the 1992 ballot to fund a variety of projects to acquire and restore parks, fish and wildlife habitat, and ancient redwood forests. Supported by conservationists. Killed in Senate Natural Resources Committee.

#### 15 **Endangered Species Act**

AB 2450 (Baker) would have delayed the process of adding plants and animals to the list of threatened or endangered species.

Opposed by conservationists. Killed in Assemblv Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

### RECYCLING

In 1992, environmentalists successfully fought off an attack on the landmark Integrated Waste Management Act (AB 939) of 1989 which requires California cities and counties to reduce their waste 50% by the year 2000. SB 1955 (Morgan) would have removed some of the key components of the Integrated Waste Management Act such as its emphasis on local government responsibility for implementing waste reduction strategies.

The greatest disappointment of 1992 was the veto of AB 2446 (Eastin), which would have significantly increased the state's purchasing requirements for recycled products and strengthened markets for recycled materials. Manufacturers of recycled products are reluctant to increase their manufacturing capacity without being certain that demand for their products will increase. The veto of AB 2446 sent a signal to manufacturers that the state does not support the expansion of markets for these products.

### **Recycled Materials**


AB 2446 (Eastin) would have required state agencies to purchase increasingly higher percentages of products made from recycled materials, including

paper, plastic, glass, oil, paints, solvents, compost, tires and asphalt.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.

**Integrated Waste Management Act** SB 1955 (Morgan) was an attempt to rewrite California's Integrated Waste Management Act in ways that would have seriously compromised the goals and objectives of the landmark 1989 recycling legislation.

Opposed by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Natural Resources Committee.



### TOXICS & HAZARDOUS WASTE

There appears to be a growing concern about toxic pollution and public health, perhaps in part because of the devastating pesticide spill above Lake Shasta in 1991. Although legislators introduced a large number of toxics bills in 1992, none of them were successful.

One of the biggest dissappointments of the yearwas Governor Wilson's veto of a bill to combat the problem of "toxic racism," or the tendency to locate hazardous waste facilities in low-income or minority areas.

Another important issue in 1992 was a proposal to locate a low level radioactive waste facility at Ward Valley, near Needles. Environmentalists launched a package of bills to address the safety issues arising from the proposal. Although all the Ward Valley bills failed passage, we have tracked the one which received the most opposition in the legislature. Following the legislative session, plans for the site were delayed for one year due to pressure from the public. The Department of the Interior, under former national League of Conservation Voters president Bruce Babbitt, will review the proposal later this year.

## 18 Permit Streamlining

SB 1596 (Maddy) would have given unprecedented authority to the Secretary of Cal-EPA to approve or disapprove environmental permit applications when any state or local lead agency has failed to act on a permit application within a short period of time. The Secretary would have had complete discretion to act on a wide range of permits - from air and water quality permits to those issued by local health officers and fire departments.

Opposed by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

## 9 Environmental Equity

AB 3024 (Roybal-Allard) would have required plans for any new toxic facility to include a site demographics statement in order to prevent toxic racism, or the construction of hazardous waste facilities near or in minority and low-income communities.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.

## 20 Ward Valley

AB 3811 (Friedman) is one of a package of bills which addressed. issues associated with the proposed siting of a low level radioactive waste facility at Ward Valley, in the California desert. AB 3811 would have required the Department of Health Services to hold an adjudicatory hearing before issuing a license.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Senate Appropriations Committee.

## 21 School Children

SB 926 (Petris) would have prohibited the use of cancer causing pesticides in schools and day care centers.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.


## 2 Farmworkers

SB 520 (Petris) would have strengthened protection for farmworkers from toxic pesticides. The bill prohibits the spraying of 27 well-known, extremely toxic pesticides, including parathion, unless alternatives are unavailable.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

## 23 Public Health Information

SB 711 (Lockyer) would have prevented the sealing of court documents which contain information on environmental hazards and public health and safety, in order to protect individuals who may be unwittingly exposed to environmental hazards.



Disclosure of the information would be restricted to serious hazards only.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed Governor.

### TRANSPORTATION

The main transportation question facing the legislature in 1992 was how to discourage private automobile use through transportation pricing. The governor vetoed the two most significant bills of the year which would have increased funding for alternative methods of transportation: travel by bicycle and rail. However, the governor signed AB 2109 (Katz) which is a step in the right direction for transportation pricing legislation. AB 2109 provides economic incentives which, it is hoped, will encourage commuters to carpool or use mass transit.

## 24 Comm

**Commuter Incentives** 

AB 2109 (Katz) requires employers who lease parking space for employees to offer, as an alternative, the cash equivalent of the monthly cost of the parking space to any employee who carpools or rides mass transit to work.

Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.

## Bicycles

SB 1141 (Killea) would have increased state funding for local bicycle lanes from \$360 thousand to \$2.4 million per year, and updated existing bicycle laws to establish bicycle use as an integral part of California's transportation system.

Supported by conservationists, Vetoed by the Governor.



### **Inter-City Rail Commission**

AB 3600 (Costa) would have established a new California Rail Commission to bypass the highway-

biased California Transportation Commission and to spur development of inter-city high speed rail. Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the **Governor.** 

## 27 Gasoline Sales Tax

AB 3800 (Bates) would have doubled the sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuels and allocated the proceeds to mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian programs.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Transportation Committee.

#### WATER

At the beginning of this legislative session, most observers of California water policy expected the' sixth year of drought to bring a flood of water reform legislation. Senator David Roberti announced his intention to oversee the formation of a major water policy proposal before the end of the two year session. However, no substantial proposal was developed.

The defeat of SB 959 (Presley) was another disappointment. SB 959 would have allowed voters to raise their water rates slightly to pay for safe drinking water, pollution clean-up, and fish and wildlife restoration. SB 959 was defeated with the help of a local utility district, which mailed a flyer to its customers alerting them to the supposedly dire fiscal impacts of the bill. On the positive side, SB 1224 (Killea) was enacted. The bill requires the installation of Ultra Low Flush toilets every time commercial or residential property is transferred.

## **b** Low-Flow Toilets


SB 1224 (Killea) requires the installation of ultra Bow flush (ULF) toilets whenever residential or commercial property is transferred. ULF toilets use only 1.5 gallons per flush. Their use could save upwards of 700,000 acre feet of water per year, which is equivalent to the amount of water used annually by 700,000 families of five. Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.



### Groundwater

SB 959 (Presley) would have imposed a small fee on urban water users to clean up groundwater polllution and to restore water-related fish and wildlife resources. The "pollution fund" would have paid for grants to urban districts unable to meet safe drinking water standards.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.



# 30 Water Transfers

AB 2899 (Isenberg) would have prohibited the use of state water conveyance facilities by water agencies who refuse to obey state laws. Some water agencies, such as the Yuba County Water Agency, take the position that because the hydroelectric facility on their multipurpose dam is federally licensed, the operation of the dam is exempt from state water quality and fisheries restrictions. Under AB 2899, agencies which violate these state laws would be denied the use of state facilities to transfer water.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

### WETLANDS & RIVERS

In 1992 the Bush administration recommended that the definition of a wetland be rewritten in such a way as to define up to 50% of California's remaining wetlands out of existence. Scientists and conservationists opposed the recommendations, as did William Reilly, then head of the Environmental Protection Agency. The California legislature responded by sending a joint resolution to the president urging him to retain the wetlands definition in current use. To his credit, the governor signed the resolution on July I, 1992.

However, the governor vetoed the year's most important wetland and river protection measures. SB 463 (McCorquodale/Baker) would have created a wetlands mitigation bank program to ensure the creation and protection of off-site wetlands when development projects remove or impact existing wetlands. Former Governor Deukmejian vetoed this wetlands mitigation bill three times. Despite hopes that he might sign it, Governor Wilson chose to veto the bill again.

### Wetlands Protection

AJR 59 (Lempert) is a resolution which urged President Bush to continue to use the same definition of

wetlands that the federal government currently uses in California. In 1992, the Bush administration launched an attack on wetlands which would have resulted in more than half of California's wetlands losing federal protection.

Supported by conservationists. Signed into law.

## 2 River Greenways

AB 350 (Costa) would have founded the California River Greenways Program to assist local communities in establishing river parkways and to restore and protect riparian habitat.

Supported by conservationists. Vetoed by the Governor.

## 33 Wetlands Mitigation

AB 1365 (Baker) would have weakened existing mitigation practices designed to ensure that any loss of wetlands is compensated by acquiring and/or restoring comparable wetlands. AB 1365 was eventually combined with another wetlands mitigation bill, SB 463 (McCorquodale), and environmentalists withdrew their opposition.

Initially opposed by conservationists. Amended into SB 463. Opposition dropped. Vetoed by the Governor.

### **INITIATIVE PROCESS**

The environmental community has made considerable use of the initiative process in recent years. In 1991, environmentalists fought two bills which restrict the rights of citizens' groups to put significant environmental initiatives on the ballot - measures which would have prevented successful ballot initiatives like the Parks and Wildlife Bond Act of 1988 and the Rail Transit Bond Act of 1990. One of those bills, SB 424 (Kopp), was signed by the governor. Ironically, in 1992, Senator Kopp returned with SB 27, a measure that would make it easier to qualify initiatives for the ballot at the local level. This measure was unsuccessful

## **34** Local Initiatives

SB 27 (Kopp) would have lowered the number of signatures required to qualify a city or county initiative. The bill would have based the signatures required on the number of people who voted in the last gubernatorial election, as opposed to the number of registered voters.

Supported by conservationists. Killed in Assembly Elections and Reapportionment Committee.

31

Assembly Floor # of pro-conservation votes # of anti-conservation votes	20 9 20 9	K F   T Tropical Hardwoods	R 6 9. Delta Protection	1 de la company de la company de la company	없 다 12. Agricultural Planning	සි ස 13. Environmental Quality	2 b 14. Park Bond Act	と ゆ 16. Recycled Materials	05 P 19. Environmental Equity	C 5 20. Ward Valley	5 21. School Children	E た 23. Public Health	C 4 25. Bicycles	2 b 26. Inter-City Rail	6 8 28. Low Flow Toilets	ර ස 31. Wetlands	Floor Score	Committee Score	New District #
Allen (R-71)	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	o	0	٥	o	0	0	a	0	0	0	67
Alpert (D-75)	а	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	a	x	x	x	x	100	-	78
Andal (R-26)	0	0	x	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x	0	13		17
Archie-Hudson (D-48)	0	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	94	75	48
Areias (D-25)	0	x	x	x	x	а	x	x	X	x	a	X	x	×	X	a	92	75	28
Baker (R-15)	ο	o	x	o	ο	ο	x	ο	ο	0	ο	ο	.0	o	0	o	13	7	C15
Bane (D-40)	x	а	x	x	а	x	x	a	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	100		*
Bates (D-12)	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	100	-	14
Becerra (D-59)	o	a	X	x	X	а	x	x	X	x	X	X	x	а	X	x	92		C30
Bentley (R-77)	ο	0	а	0	o	ο	ο	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	а	0	0	0	*
Boland (R-38)	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
Bronzan (D-31)	а	x	x	x	x	x	X	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	100	ł	*
Brown, W. (D-17)	0	X	x	x	X	x	X	x	×	X	x	x	x	x	X	x	94	-	13
Bruite (R-65)	o	ο	ο	0	o	ο	ο	o	0	x	o	0	ο	o	o	o	6	-	63
Burton (D-16)	0	X	x	x	X	x	a	x	X	x	X	X	а	X	X	x	93	100	12
Campbell (D-11)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	a	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	11
Cannella (D-27)	0	X	X	x	x	×	X	x	×	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	94	100	26
Chacon (D-79)	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	a	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	-	*
Chandler (R-3)	0	0	X	0	а	0	a	0	o	0	0	а	0	0	X	0	15	20	*
Clute (D-68)	x	ο	x	ο	o	x	x	a	х	x	o	o	x	x	а	0	57	+	*
Collins (R-5)	0	0	0	x	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	7	3 <b>.</b>	: <b>*</b>
Connelly (D-6)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	*
Conroy (R-67)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	71
Cortese (D-24)	0	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	94	-	23
Costa (D-30)	0	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X	X	0	X	x	X	X	0	81	67	30
Eastin (D-18)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	20

Assembly Floor # of pro-conservation votes # of anti-conservation votes	20 9 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	8 4 7. Tropical Hardwoods	お ゆ 9. Delta Protection	1 5 4 11. Growth Management	없 하 12. Agricultural Planning	e contronmental Quality	2 P 14. Park Bond Act	8 む 16. Recycled Materials	6 4 19. Environmental Equity	8 4 20. Ward Valley	6 Chool Children	1 C Public Health	8 4 25. Bicycles	2 4 26. Inter-City Rail	6 28. Low Flow Toilets	6 the stands	Floor Score	Committee Score	New District #
Eaves (D-66)	0	x	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	а	X	а	X	x	a	X	85	77	*
Elder (D-57)	а	x	X	а	X	x	X	a	a	а	x	x	x	X	x	а	100	-	*
Epple (D-63)	0	X	X	X	×	x	а	X	X	а	a	X	X	X	X	X	92	77	56
Farr (D-28)	x	x	x	x	x	X	<u>x</u>	a	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	100	100	27
Felando (R-51)	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	o	0	x	x	ο	0	0	0	13	33	*
Ferguson (R-70)	а	0	o	0	0	0	0	а	a	а	o	0	0	o	0	а	0	0	70
Filante (R-9)	X	X	а	а	8	а	X	X	0	X	а	а	а	0	a	X	a	60	*
Floyd (D-53)	o	ο	x	X	x	а	o	X	x	а	x	x	ο	x	x	x	71	-	*
Frazee (R-74)	0	0	O	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	6	0	74
Friedman, B (D-46)	a	x	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	-	40
Friedman, T (D-43)	X	X	а	x	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	x	X	X	100	100	41
Frizzelle (R-69)	а	0	x	0	a	а	а	а	ο	а	0	ο	0	а	0	а	а	9	*
Gotch (D-78)	0	X	x	X	×	x	X	x	x	0	x	X	्र	X	X	X	88	100	76
Hannigan (D-4)	ο	X	x	ο	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	X	X	х	x	88	79	8
Hansen (R-8)	0	0	0	а	x	0	x	x	0	x	Ó	0	0	0	x	ο	33	29	*
Harvey (R-33)	x	ο	O	0	ο	0	ο	o	0	o	ο	ο	0	х	ο	0	13	0	32
Hauser (D-2)	X	x	x	o	x	0	X	x	X	x	x	x	×	×	x	x	88	100	1
Hayden (D-44)	x	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	• <b>x</b>	x	x	x	а	а	x	100	100	S23
Horcher (R-52)	0	X	0	0	0	O	0	X	0	O	0	o	0	0	X	0	19		60
Hughes (D-47)	0	x	x	X	x	x	а	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	х	93	83	S25
Hunter (R-76)	o	0	X	0	ο	0	0	x	o	x	o	ο	x	0	x	o	31	-	*
Isenberg (D-10)	X	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	9
Johnson (R-64)	o	o	а	a	a	o	o	а	a	a	o	o	o	o	а	o	а	n (ya)	72
Jones (R-32)	0	ο	а	ο	ο	ο	x	ο	ο	ο	0	ο	ο	x	o	a	14	.=	29
Katz (D-39)	X	x	a	X	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	39
Kelley (R-73)	0	0	0	0	0	0	а	X	0	x	ο	0	0	0	x	0	20	0	S37
Klehs (D-14)	X	x	X	X	x	x	X	x	X	X	x	x	X	x	X	X	100		18

Assembly Floor # of pro-conservation votes	00 6. PUC	추 7. Tropical Hardwoods	ති 9. Delta Protection	다 11. Growth Management	다 12. Agricultural Planning	ର 13. Environmental Quality	4 14. Park Bond Act	ර් 16. Recycled Materials	4 19. Environmental Equity	銌 20. Ward Valley	요 21. School Children	ති 23. Public Health	GP 25. Bicycles	26. Inter-City Rail	528. Low Flow Toilets	ස් 31. Wetlands	Floor Score	Committee Score	New District #
# of anti-conservation votes	49	32	24	31	28	34	27	22	30	23	34	31	32	27	19	29			
Knowles (R-7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ο	0	O	0	0	0	-	4
Lancaster (R-62)	a	а	0	0	0	а	a	a	a	а	0	0	0	а	X	а	a		*
Lee (D-13)	а	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100	-	16
Lempert (D-20)	X	X	X	X	X	X	<u>×</u>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100		*
Margolin (D-45)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100	-	42
Mays (R-58)	0	0	X	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	а	X	0	20	•	*
McClintock (R-36)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	*
Moore (D-49)	0	X	X	X	्र	X	X	X	X	X	्र	X	X	X	X	X	94	50	47
Mountjoy (R-42)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ο	0	0	0	-	59
Murray (D-54)	0	X	X	x	X	0	0	X	×	x	X	X	X	x	X	X	81	75	52
Nolan (R-41)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	43
O'Connell (D-35)	0	X	X	X	×	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	94	90	35
Peace (D-80)	0	x	x	X	X	x	x	X	0	0	x	x	X	x	x	а	80	20	79
Polanco (D-55)	0	x	x	x	а	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	X	93	79	45
Quackenbush (R-22)	0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	o	o	o	0	-	24
Roybal-Allard (D-56)	x	X	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	×	x	X	100	100	C33
Seastrand (R-29)	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	o	0	ο	ο	o	ο	0		33
Sher (D-21)	X	X	्र	X	x	X	a	X	×	X	×	X	x	а	X	X	100	100	21
Speier (D-19)	• <b>X</b>	X	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	<b>x</b> .	X	x	100	100	19
Statham (R-1)	0	0	0	x	0	0	x	0	0	a	0	0	0	x	×	0	27	•	2
Tanner (D-60)	o	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	93	-	*
Tucker (D-50)	0	X	X	X	X	X	a	X	x	Ø	X	X	а	x	a	x	92	93	51
Umberg (D-72)	ο	x	0	x	x	ο	ο	x	x	x	0	а	х	x	x	х	67	75	69
Vasconcellos (D-23)	а	×	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	a	x	x	а	x	100	91	22
Woodruff (R-61)	ο	0	ο	ο	o	o	ο	o	o	x	ο	0	ο	o	ο	o	6	18	65
Wright (R-37)	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	o	0	o	ο	ο	0	0	0	0	S19
Wyman (R-34)	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	а	а	а	0	ο	0	ο	0	а	0	-	S16

	Se
Utilities & Commerce	Utiliti
	6. Public Utilities
Alpert (D-75)	0
Boland (R-38) Conroy (R-67)	0 0
Epple (D-63)	0
Filante (R-9)	0
Floyd (D-53)	0
Horcher (R-52) Hughes (D-47)	a o
MOORE (D-49)	0
Mountjoy (R-42)	0
Murray (D-54) Peace (D-80)	0 0
Polanco (D-55)	0
Tucker (D-50)	a
Wright (R-37)	0

Water, Parks & Wildlife	15. Endangered Species	29. Groundwater	30. Water Transfers	31. Wetlands Protection
Campbell (D-11)	a	x	X	x
Chandler (R-3)	0	0	0	0
CORTESE (D-24)	а	а	a	X
Costa (D-30)	0	а	а	а
Filante (R-9)	x	0	x	x
Harvey (R-33)	0	а	ο	ο
Hauser (D-2)	x	x	x	x
Isenberg (D-10)	x	x	x	x
Katz (D-39)	x	а	x	x
Kelley (R-73)	0	0	0	0
Peace (D-80)	0	а	0	x
Speier (D-19)	x	X	x	x
Wyman (R-34)	0	0	a	o

Consumer Protection	2. Air Districts	7. Tropical Hardwoods	16. Recycled Materials
Areias (D-25)	a	x	x
Cannella (D-27)	а	x	x
Eastin (D-18)	a	x	x
Frazee (R-74)	а	0	0
Gotch (D-78)	X	X	x
Knowles (R-7)	ο	0	o
Mays (R-58)	o	o	x
Moore (D-49)	0	x	x
Seastrand (R-29)	0	0	0
Sher (D-21)	x	x	x
SPEIER (D-19)	x	x	x



During her first term in office, **Barbara Friedman** (**D-43**) earned an excellent 100% environmental voting record.


Elections & Reapportionment	Local Initiatives
	34.
Archie-Hudson (D-48) CHACON (D-79)	0
Johnson (R-64)	X
Klehs (D-14)	O
Margolin (D-45)	X
Mountjoy (R-42)	X
Nolan (R-41)	x
Peace (D-80)	o

Housing & Community Development	28. Low-Flow Toilets
Alpert (D-75)	×
Cortese (D-24)	x
Boland (R-38)	a
Conroy (R-67)	a
Ferguson (R-70)	0
Cannella (D-27)	X
HAUSER (D-2)	x
Friedman, B. (D-46)	x
Lempert (D-20)	x
Seastrand (R-29)	a

Natural Resources	9. Delta Protection Act	13. Environmental Quality	17. Waste Mngmnt. Act	20. Ward Valley
Allen (R-71)	a	0	a	a
Bates (D-12)	<u>a</u>	X	а	X
Bentley (R-77)	а	0	0	0
Brulte (R-65)	a	0	а	0
Chandler (R-3)	x	0	0	a
Connelly (D-6)	x	x	x	x
Farr (D-28)	x	X	x	x
Friedman, T. (D-43)	x	x	x	x
Frizzelle (R-69)	а	0	а	a
Gotch (D-78)	x	x	x	x
Hauser (D-2)	x	а	x	x
Hayden (D-44)	x	x	x	x
Margolin (D-45)	a	x	а	x
Quackenbush (R-22)	а	ο	0	а
SHER (D-21)	x	x	x	x

·	
Labor & Employment	orkers
	22. Farmworkers
Archie-Hudson (D-48)	x
Collins (R-5) Floyd (D-53)	o X
FRIEDMAN, T. (D-43)	x
Horcher (R-52)	0
Kelley (R-73) Klehs (D-14)	o x
Lee (D-13)	x
McClintock (R-36)	0
Tanner (D-60)	a
Tucker (D-50)	x

Local Government	11. Growth Management	12. Agricultural Planning	19. Environmental Equity
Becerra (D-59)	x	а	x
Boland (R-38)	0	0	0
Cannella (D-27)	X	x	x
Chandler (R-3)	0	x	o
FARR (D-28)	x	x	x
Frazee (R-74)	0	0	0
Gotch (D-78)	x	x	x
Hannigan (D-4)	0	x	x
Hughes (D-47)	x	x	x
Lancaster (R-62)	0	a	a
Murray (D-54)	x	x	x



energen andere er er verste beste der eine eine er er beste beste beste beste beste beste beste beste beste bes
The second s
and the second se
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
and the second se
a state the second of the second s
TRACTICAL STATISTICS AND
AND

**Doris Allen (R-71)** earned the lowest score in her ten year career in the Assembly by posting an abysmal 0% voting score.

Rules	31. Wetlands Protection
	31.
BANE (D-40)	X
Epple (D-63)	X
Frazee (R-74)	0
Filante (R-9)	X
Harvey (R-33)	0
Mountjoy (R-42)	ο
Polanco (D-55)	x
Roybal-Allard (D-56)	x
Tucker (D-50)	x

x = pro-conservation vote o = anti-conservation vote a = absent /not voting * = no longer in office	
x = pro-conservation vote o = anti-conservation vote a = absent /not voting * = no longer in office	
'C' or 'S' before a new district indicates the member has moved to Congress or the State Senate Uppercase names = committee chairs	

Ways & Means	6. Public Utilities	7. Tropical Hardwoods	9. Delta Protection	11. Growth Management	12. Agricultural Planning	16. Recycled Materials	19. Environmental Equity	20. Ward Valley	22. Farmworkers	21. School Children	25. Bicycles	26. Inter-City Rail
Allen (R-71)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baker (R-15)	0	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burton (D-16)	X	a	X	X	X	X	X	X	a	X	a	X
Campbell (D-11)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Costa (D-30)	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	X	X
Eaves (D-66)	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	a	a	X
Epple (D-63)	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	a	a	X	X
Felando (R-51)	0	0	X	0	0	X	0	0	X	X	0	0
Filante (R-9)	0	a	а	a	a	а	X	X	а	a	a	0
Frizzelle (R-69)	0	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	a	a	0	0
Hannigan (D-4)	0	x	x	0	X	X	X	×	а	x	x	X
Hansen (R-8)	0	0	X	0	x	x	0	o	0	а	0	0
Hughes (D-47)	0	а	X	X	X	a	X	а	a	X	X	x
Murray (D-54)	а	x	x	ο	x	a	а	x	0	x	x	а
Nolan (R-41)	0	a	X	o	0	x	0	0	0	0	0	0
O'Connell (D-35)	ο	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	а	à	X	x
Polanco (D-55)	0	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x
Gotch (D-76)	ο	x	x	x	x	x	X	х	X	x	х	x
Roybal-Allard (D-56)	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	x	X	x	x
Tucker (D-50)	0	x	x	x	X	X	X	x	X	X	x	x
VASCONCELLOS (D-23)	0	x	x	X	x	x	x	a	X	x	x	x
Woodruff (R-61)	0	0	X	0	а	0	0	X	0	0	0	0
Wright (R-37)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

25. Bicycles Inter-City Rail	27. Gasoline Sales Tax
Alpert (D-75) * X	a
Archie-Hudson (D-48) X *	*
Areias (D-25) X a	0
Baker (R-15) 0 0	0
Bronzan (D-31) 🛛 🗙 📩	•
Clute (D-68) a x	a
Costa (D-30) x x	a
Eastin (D-18) X X	a
Eaves (D-66) X X	x
Frazee (R-74)       O       O	0
Ferguson (R-70) 0 0	0
Hansen (R-8) O X	0
KATZ (D-39) X X	a
Lancaster (R-62) a a	a
Lee (D-13) * X	X
Lempert (D-20) X X	а
McClintock (R-36) 0 0	٥
Umberg (D-72) X X	0



**Jim-Brulte (R-65)**, the newly elected Minority Floor Leader did not demonstrate any environmental leadership with his 6% voting score.

						··· ·· -				<del>_</del>		. <u>.</u>					· ·		·	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
Senate Floor # of pro-conservation votes # of anti-conservation votes	5 4 1. Air Toxics	L R 3. Ride-Sharing	6 C 4. North Coast Sanctuary	α Ν 5. Central Coast Sanctuary	1 b 7. Tropical Hardwoods	8 0 8. Native Oaks	6 N 9. Delta Protection	N N 10. Maintenance Districts	8 더 11. Growth Management	없 다 12. Agricultural Planning	4 0 16. Recycled Materials	1 17. Waste Management Act	C 0 18. Permit Streamlining	15 15 19. Environmental Equity	9 2 23. Public Health	9 8 24. Commuter Incentives	G N 25. Bicycles	α N 26. Inter-City Rail	& R 28. Low-Flow Toilets	O & 31. Wetlands	A B 32. River Greenways	Floor Score	Committee Score	New District #
Alquist (D-13)	0	o	x	a	x	x	x	x	x	0	x	0	0	x	0	X	a	a	x	a	x	65	62	13
Ayala (D-34)	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	X	x	0	0	x	0	a	X	X	X	a	а	x	X	X	50	71	34
Bergeson (R-37)	0	o	x	X	x	o	o	а	x	0	x	o	0	0	0	x	x	0	x	X	o	45	44	35
Beverly (R-29)	0	0	x	X	X	0	0	x	a	0	x	0	0	0	0	x	a	x	X	X	x	53	59	27
Boatwright (D-7)	0	0	x	x	x	x	x	x	o	0	x	0	a	X	0	а	X	X	a	x	x	67		7
Calderon (D-26)	ο	a	a	a	x	х	а	x	0	x	x	a	а	а	x	а	x	x	а	x	а	а	-	26
Craven (R-38)	а	a	а	а	а	0	а	a	x	0	X	а	a	a	x	a	a	a	a	a	x	a	- 	38
Davis (R-19)	0	ο	а	а	0	x	x	x	0	0	x	x	0	x	a	а	а	x	a	X	x	60	67	*
Deddeh (D-40)	0	x	X	x	a	0	а	X	0	0	X	0	0	X	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	68		40
Dills (D-30)	ο	o	0	้อ	X	0	a	x	а	0	x	ο	0	x	x	x	x	а	X	x	x	59	38	30
Green,C. (D-33)	o	o	x	а	x	0	x	x	0	0	X	0	0	X	x	a	а	a	0	X	а	50		•
Greene,B. (D-27)	а	a	x	а	а	X	x	а	x	а	a	X	0	а	a	a	а	a	a	а	x	а	-	*
Greene,L, (D-6)	0	0	X	x	X	0	X	X	0	а	X	0	0	a	x	X	a	X	a	X	X	63	70	6
Hart (D-18)	a	x	X	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	. <b>a</b>	x	x	X	x	X	x	x	100	75	18
Hill (R-31)	0	0	а	X	X	0	x	X	0	0	a	x	0	0	0	0	X	a	X	X	×	50	33	29
Johnston (D-5)	0	x	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	x	0	X	x	X	X	X	X	а	x	x	90	100	5
Keene (D-2)	x	x	X	X	x	X	x	x	0	X	X	a	0	X	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	85	83	•
Killea (I-39)	0	o	x	x	0	x	x	а	0	0	x	x	X	x	0	X	x	x	x	а	а	67	100	39
Корр (Ј-8)	0	0	x	X	X	0	x	X	0	0	0	0	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	62	83	8
Leonard (R-25)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a	ο	0	a	o	0	22	31
Lewis (R-35)	a	0	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	6		33
Leslie (R-1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	X	a	15	17	1
Lockyer (D-10)	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	90	84	10
Maddy (R-14)	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	X	X	0	x	0	0	0	0	x	0	0	0	x	x	30	14	14
Marks (D-3)	0	x	x	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	а	X	X	X	x	x	95	100	3

Senate Floor	1. Air Toxics	N 3. Ride-Sharing	G 4. North Coast Sanctuary	S 5. Central Coast Sanctuary	7. Tropical Hardwoods	0 8. Native Oaks	N 9. Delta Protection	8 10. Maintenance Districts	ත් 11. Growth Management	🕇 12. Agricultural Planning	Ø 16. Recycled Materials	N 17. Waste Management Act	<b>D</b> 18. Permit Streamtining	D 19. Environmental Equity	C 23. Public Health	24. Commuter Incentives	N 25. Bicycles	R 26. Inter-City Rail	N 28. Low-Flow Toilets	😡 31. Wetlands	S 32. River Greenways	Floor Score	Committee Score	New District #
# of pro-conservation votes # of anti-conservation votes	7 25	11	25 9	8	11	.18	9	2	20	23	4	21	22	12	16	6	5	8	8	0	7	-		
McCorquodale (D-12)	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	а	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	100	83	12
Mello (D-17)	x	X	x	X	X	X	а	x	0	0	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	а	а	x	89	-	15
Morgan (R-11)	0	0	x	x	0	a	X	x	x	0	x	0	0	0	0	x	x	0	x	x	o	50	- <u>-</u>	11
Petris (D-9)	0	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	a	х	x	a	a	X	94	-	9
Presley (D-36)	x	x	x	o	x	0	x	x	x	0	x	0	0	0	o	x	X	X	x	x	x	67	73	36
Roberti (D-23)	x	ο	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Χ.	x	X	a	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	95	-	20
Rogers (R-16)	0	o	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	o	o	a	a	o	x	o	5	25	17
Rosenthal (D-22)	x	x	x	x	x	X	а	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	а	x	x	x	x	x	100	100	22
Royce (R-32)	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	a	o	0	a	0	o	٥	o	o	ο	o	o	a	o	0	•	C39
Russell (R-21)	0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	x	0	0	а	ο	0	o	ο	x	0	0	0	X	0	15	-	21
Thompson (D-4)	x	0	x	x	x	x	x	x	ο	x	x	X	o	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	a	85	-	2
Torres (D-24)	X	а	x	x	a	x	X	a	a	x	а	a	а	x	x	а	X	x	x	x	X	100	90	24
Vuich (D-15)	а	0	a	a	x	x	а	а	0	x	x	а	а	a	x	x	a	a	X	a	a	a	-	*
Watson (D-28)	a	a	x	x	x	x	а	а	x	x	x	ο	x	x	x	x	a	x	X	8	x	93	-	28



Lucy Killea (1-39) sponsored several conservation bills, unfortunately, her environmental voting score was a disappointing 67%.



**Patrick Johnston (D-5)**, authored the Delta Protection Act and increased his environmental score to 90%.



**Bill Leonard (R-31)** became one of only two members of the Senate to receive a 0% environmental voting score.

Appropriations	18. Permit Streamlining	1. Air Toxics	4. North Coast Sanctuary	5. Central Coast Sanct.	9. Delta Protection Act	10. Agricultural Land	16. Recycled Materials	17. Waste Mngmnt. Act	25. Bicycles	26. Inter-City Rail	32. River Greenways
Alquist (D-13)	a	o	X	a	X	X	×	0	X	a	X
Ayala (D-34)	ο	а	0	o	X	x	x	0	∵ <b>x</b>	X	x
Bergeson (R-37)	0	0	x	x	0	x	а	0	X	а	0
Beverly (R-29)	0	0	x	x	a	x	X	0	x	x	x
Davis (R-19)	а	0	X	x	x	X	a	X	a	a	а
Dills (D-30)	ο	0	0	ο	а	x	x	0	x	а	x
Greene, L. (D-6)	0	0	x	X	X	а	X	0	X	X	x
Johnston (D-5)	x	а	x	x	X	x	а	а	x	x	x
Killea (D-39)	а	a	x	्र	X	X	X	x	x	x	X
Leonard (R-25)	0	0	x	0	а	0	а	0	ο	a	x
Lockyer (D-10)	X	Ö	X	X	X	x	a a	X	x	X	X
PRESLEY (D-36)	0	x	x	0	X	X	x	0	x	x	x



Although Senate Appropriations Committee Chair **Robert Presley (D-36)** authored a bill to fund groundwater clean up, he earned a mediocre 67% environmental voting score.

Governmental Organization	18. Permit Streamlining	3. Ride-Sharing	4. North Coast Sanctuary	5. Central Coast Sanct.	7. Tropical Hardwoods.	16. Recycled Materials	17. Waste Mngmnt. Act
Alquist (D-13)	0	0	X	a	a	x	0
Beverly (R-29)	0	0	0	X	x	x	0
DILLS (D-30)	0	0	0	0	X	X	0
Greene, B. (D-2)	а	a	а	а	а	а	а
Hill (R-31)	0	0	а	a	x	X	0
Keene (D-2)	ο	x	x	x	х	x	а
Lockyer (D-10)	x	x	x	X	x	x	0
Maddy (R-14)	ο	0	0	0	ο	X	0
Mello (D-17)	x	а	x	x	x	x	a
Rosenthal (D-22)	а	x	x	X	x	x	a
Torres (D-24)	a	а	x	x	a	x	0



**Senator Calderon (D-26),** who did not vote enough times to earn a score, authored a bill to weaken air toxics laws.

Toxics & Public Safety	1. Air Toxics	20. Ward Valley
Calderon (D-26) Kopp (I-8)	0	x x
Leonard (R-25) Leslie (R-1)	0 0	x
Lockyer (D-10) TORRES (D-24)	o	x
Watson (D-28)	* a	x

Local Government	Environmental Equity
	19. Envira
Ayala (D-34)	x
BERGESON (R-37)	o
Calderon (D-26)	x
Craven (R-38) Green, C. (D-33)	^ a x
Hill (R-31)	o
Kopp (I-8)	X
Russell (R-21)	o
Thompson (D-4)	X

Transportation	24. Commuter Incentives	25. Bicycles	26. Inter-City Rail
Ayala (D-34)	X	X	x
Bergeson (R-37)	х	x	а.
Boatwright (D-70	x	а	x
Green, C. (D-33)	а	а	x
Killea (I-39)	x	x	а
KOPP (I-8)	X	x	x
McCorquodale (D-12)	x	x	а
Morgan (R-11)	<b>X</b> -	x	а
Torres (D-24	x	*	а
Russell (R-21)	x	a	x
Vuich (D-15)	a	a	x

Natural Resources	8. Native Oaks	9. Delta Protection Act	31. Wetlands Protection	33. Wetlands Mitigation
Bergeson (R-37)	0	0	x	O
Davis (R-19)	а	0	x	0
Hart (D-18)	x	X	x	0
Johnston (D-5)	X	x	X	а
Leslie (R-1)	0	o	x	o
Marks (D-3)	х	x	x	X
McCORQUODALE (D-12)	x	x	x	0
Mello (D-17)	x	x	x	x
Rogers (R-16)	0	0	x	0
Rosenthal (D-22)	x	x	x	x
Torres (D-24)	а	x	x	X



Senator **Becky Morgan** (**R-11**) earned a 50% environmental score and authored a good growth management bill and a bad recycling bill.



Minority Floor Leader **Ken Maddy (R-14)** exercises great influence over GOP votes, unfortunately he could only muster a 30% environmental voting score.

### **Assembly Roster**

Below is a district-order listing of Assembly Members for the 1993 Legislative Session. These are the new district numbers, which are different from those of the 1992 Legislative Session. The description for each Assembly Member includes a listing of their environmental committee assignment(s) and a recent history of their environmental scores on the floor (F) and in committee (C). Assembly members are up for re-election every 2 years. To contact your Assembly Member about his or her environmental voting record please write to:

#### Assembly Member\_ **California State Assembly** State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

#### 1. Hauser, Dan (D)

District Office: (707) 445-7014 Elected in 1982. Chair of Housing and Community Development. Member of Transportation; Water, Parks & Wildlife. 1992: 88% F, 100% C 1991: 78% F, 100% C 1990: 94% F

#### 2. Statham, Stan (R)

District Office: (916) 223-6300 Elected in 1976. Member of Judiciary. 1992: 27% F 1991: 13% F 1990: 53% F

#### 3. Richter, Bernie (R)

District Office: (916) 445-7298 Elected in 1992. Member of Natural Resources: Environmental Safety.

#### 4. Knowles, David (R)

District Office: (916) 676-5953 Elected in 1990. Member of Natural Resources: Water, Parks and Wildlife. 1992: 0% F 1991: 0% F

#### 5. This seat is vacant, and will be filled by special election in Spring 1993.

#### 6. Bronshvag, Vivien (D)

District Office: (415) 492-4920 Elected in 1992 Vice Chair of Water. Parks and Wildlife. Member of Utilities and Commerce; Consumer Protection.

#### 7. Brown, Valerie (D)

District Office: (707) 546-4500 Elected in 1992. Vice Chair of Local Government.

#### 8. Hannigan, Thomas (D)

District Office: (707) 429-2383 Elected in 1978, Majority Floor Leader. Member of Local Government; Ways & Means. 1992: 88% F, 79% C 1991: 88% F, 83% C 1990: 95% F, 100% C

#### 9. Isenberg, Phil (D)

District Office: (916) 324-4676 Elected in 1982 Chair of Judiciary. Member of Water, Parks & Wildlife. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 93% F 1990: 100% F

#### 10. Bowler, Larry (R)

District Office: (916) 362-4161 Elected in 1992 Member of Utilities and Commerce.

#### **11. Campbell, Robert J. (D)** District Office: (510) 372-7990 Elected in 1980. Member of Water Parks & Wildlife: Utilities & Commerce; Ways & Means. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 96% F, 97% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 12. Burton, John (D)

District Office: (415) 557-2253 Assemblymember 1965-74, Congressman 1974-1982, Re-elected to Assembly in 1988. Member of Ways & Means. 1992: 93% F, 100% C 1991: 100% F, 94% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 13. Brown, Willie L. (D)

District Office: (415) 557-0784 Elected in 1964, Assembly Speaker since 1980. The Speaker is a member of all Assembly committees. 1992: 94% F 1991: 92% F 1990: 95% F

#### 14. Bates, Tom (D)

District Office: (510) 428-1423 Elected in 1976. Member of Natural Resources; Environmental Safety. 1992: 100% F 1991: 100% F, 100% C 1990: 100% F. 100% C

#### 15. Rainey Richard (R)

District Office: (510) 933-9196 Elected in 1992. Former Assemblyman Bill Baker was elected to Congress. Member of Environmental Safety; Utilities & Commerce.

#### 16. Lee. Barbara (D)

District Office: (510) 286-0339 Elected in 1990. Member of Ways & Means; Transportation. 1992: 100% F 1991: 100% F, 100% C

#### 17. Andal, Dean (R)

District Office: (209) 948-7479 Elected in 1991. Member of Labor & Employment; Transportation; Environmental Safety. 1992: 13% F

#### 18. Klehs, Johan (D)

District Office: (510) 352-2673 Elected in 1982. Member of Labor & Employment. 1992: 100% F 1991: 96% F 1990: 100% F

#### **19. Speier, Jacqueline (D)**

District Office: (415) 871-4100 Elected in 1986. Chair of Consumer Protection. Member of Judiciary. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 100% F 1990: 95% F, 100% C

### 20. Eastin, Delaine (D)

District Office: (510) 791-2151

Elected in 1986. Member of Consumer Protection; Transportation. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 96% F, 60% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 21. Sher, Byron (D)

District Office: (415) 961-6031 Elected in 1980. Chair of Natural Resources. Member of Environmental Safety; Consumer Protection. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 100% F, 100% C 1990: 100% F, 94% C

#### 22. Vasconcellos, John (D)

District Office: (408) 288-7515 Elected in 1966. Chair of Ways & Means. 1992: 100% F, 91% C 1991: 90% F, 93% C 1990: 100% F. 100% C

#### 23. Cortese, Dominic L. (D)

District Office: (408) 269-6500 Elected in 1980. Chair of Water, Parks & Wildlife. Member of Housing & Community Development. 1992: 94% F 1991: 85% F 1990: 89% F

#### 24. Quackenbush, Chuck (R)

District Office: (408) 446-4114 Elected in 1986. Member of Ways & Means. 1992: 0% F 1991: 32% F. 53% C 1990: 37% F, 20% C

#### 25. Snyder, Margaret (D)

District Office: (209) 544-9992 Elected in 1992. Member of Judiciary.

#### 26. Cannella, Sal (D) District Office: (209) 669-6115

Elected in 1990.

Member of Local Government; Water, Parks & Wildlife. 1992: 94% F, 100% C 1991: 70% F 1990: 93% F

#### 27. Farr, Sam (D)

District Office: (408) 425-1503 *Elected in 1980.* Member of Natural Resources. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 88% F, 100% C 1990: 95% F, 92% C

**28.** Areias, Rusty (D) District Office: (408) 422-4344 *Elected in 1982.* Chair of Agriculture. Member of Transportation. 1992: 92% F, 75% C 1991: 74% F 1990: 100% F

#### 29. Jones, Bill (R)

District Office: (209) 224-7833 Elected in 1982. Minority Floor Leader. Member of Environmental Safety; Ways & Means. 1992: 14% F 1991: 0% F 1990: 6% F, 7% C

#### 30. Costa, Jim (D)

District Office: (209) 264-3078 *Elected in 1978.* Member of Water, Parks & Wildlife; Elections, Transportation; Ways & Means. 1992: 81% F, 67% C 1991: 74% F, 61% C 1990: 89% F, 57% C

#### 31. Bustamante, Cruz (D)

*Elected in April of 1993 No district office number available.* 

#### 32. Harvey, Trice (R)

District Office: (805) 324-3300 Elected in 1986. Member of Water, Parks & Wildlife. 1992: 13% F, 0% C 1991: 8% F 1990: 5% F, 8% C

#### **33. Seastrand, Andrea (R)**

District Office: (805) 549-3381 Elected in 1990, to replace her deceased husband, Eric Seastrand. Member of Consumer Protection; Ways & Means. 1992: 0% F 1991: 8% F

**34. Honeycutt, Kathleen (R)** District Office: (619) 948-4444 *Elected in 1992.*  Member of Transportation; Consumer Protection; Housing & Community Development.

#### 35. O'Connell, Jack (D)

District Office: (805) 966-2296 Elected in 1982. Speaker Pro Tempore. Member of Ways & Means. 1992: 94% F, 90% C 1991: 92% F, 83% C 1990: 95% F, 100% C

#### 36. Knight, Pete (R)

District Office: (805) 947-9664 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Transportation; Consumer Protection.

#### 37. Takasugi, Nao (R)

District Office: (805) 987-5195 Elected in 1992. Cathie Wright is now in the State Senate. Member of Labor & Employment; Local Government; Utilities & Commerce.

#### **38. Boland, Paula (R)**

District Office: (818) 368-3838 Elected in 1990. Member of Utilities & Commerce; Housing & Community Development; Environmental Safety. 1992: 0% F, 0% C 1991: 11% F

#### 39. Katz, Richard (D)

District Office: (818) 894-3671 *Elected in 1980.* Chair of Transportation. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 96% F, 73% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 40. Friedman, Barbara (D)

District Office: (818) 783- 1976 *Elected in 1991* Member of Environmental Safety; Ways & Means. 1992: 100% F

#### 41. Friedman, Terry (D)

District Office: (818) 501-8991 *Elected in 1986.* Chair of Labor & Employment. Member of Natural Resources; Judiciary. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 100% F, 100% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 42. Margolin, Burt (D)

District Office: (213) 655-9750 *Elected in 1982.* Member of Natural Resources. 1992: 100% F 1991: 100% F, 100% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 43. Nolan, Patrick (R)

District Office: (818) 240-6330 Elected in 1978. Member of Ways & Means 1992: 0% F, 25% C 1991: 8% F, 14% C 1990: 15% F, 0% C

#### 44. Hoge, William (R)

District Office: (818) 577-4470 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Natural Resources; Labor & Employment.

#### 45. Polanco, Richard (D)

District Office: (2 13) 255-7111 *Elected in 1986.* Member of Ways & Means; Utilities & Commerce. 1992: 93% F, 79% C 1991: 96% F, 89% C 1990: 94% F

#### 46. Caldera, Louis (D)

District Office: (213) 680-4646 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Judiciary; Consumer Protection.

#### 47. Moore, Gwen (D)

District Office: (213) 292-0605 *Elected in 1978, Majority Whip.* Chair of Utilities and Commerce. 1992: 94% F, 50% C 1991: 96% F 1990: 95% F

#### 48. Archie-Hudson, Marguerite (D)

District Office: (213) 745-6656 *Elected in 1990.* Member of Judiciary; Labor & Employment. 1992: 94% F, 75% C 1991: 96% F

#### 49. Martinez, Diane (D)

District Office: (818) 570-6121 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Consumer Protection; Local Government.

#### 50. Escutia, Martha

District Office: (213) 582-7774 *Elected in 1992.* Vice-Chair of Transportation. Member of Labor & Employment; Water, Parks & Wildlife.

#### 51. Tucker, Curtis Jr. (D)

District Office: (310) 412-6400 *Elected in 1988.* Member of Natural Resources; Labor & Employment. 1992: 92% F, 93% C 1991: 81% F, 87% C 1990: 95% F

#### 52. Murray, Willard (D)

District Office: (3 10) 516-4144 Elected in 1988. Member of Ways & Means; Utilities & Commerce; Local Government. 1992: 81% F, 75% C 1991: 73% F, 77% C 1990: 95% F

#### 53. Bowen Debra (D)

District Office: (310) 523-4831 *Elected in 1992.* Vice-Chair of Consumer Protection. Member of Environmental Safety; Housing & Community Development; Natural Resources.

#### 54. Karnette, Betty (D)

District Office: (310) 495-4766 *Elected in 1992* Karnette ousted incumbent Gerald Felando. Vice-Chair of Labor & Employment. Member of Transportation; Environmental Safety.

#### 55. McDonald, Juanita (D)

District Office: (310) 518-3324 *Elected in 1992* Member of Housing & Community Development; Transportation.

#### 63. Epple, Bob (D)

District Office: (310) 868-1485 *Elected in 1988.* Member of Ways & Means; Judiciary. 1992: 92% F, 77% C 1991: 87% F, 81% C 1990: 94% F

#### 57. Solis, Hilda (D)

District Office: (818) 369-3551 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Labor & Employment; Utilities & Commerce.

#### 58. Napolitano, Grace (D)

District Office: (310) 406-7322 *Elected in 1992.* Vice-Chair of Housing & Community Development. Member of Transportation.

#### 59. Mountjoy, Richard (R)

District Office: (808) 446-3134 *Elected in 1978.* Member of Utilities & Commerce. 1992: 0% F 1991: 8% F 1990: 26% F

**60. Horcher, Paul (R)** District Office: (818)967-5299 *Elected in 1990.* Vice-Chair of Ways & Means. Member of Judiciary. 1992: 19% F 1991: 11% F

**61. Aguiar, Fred (R)** District Office: (714) 946-7537 Elected in 1992. Member of Housing & Community Development; Local Government.

#### 62. Baca, Joe (R)

District Office: (909) 885-2222 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Utilities & Commerce.

**63. Brulte, Jim (R)** District Office: (714) 466-9096 *Elected in 1990.* Republican Minority Leader. 1992: 6% F 1991: 12% F, 10% C

#### 64. Weggeland, Ted (R)

District Office: (909) 369- 6644 Elected in 1992. Member of Consumer Protection; Transportation; J u d i c i a r y.

#### 65. Woodruff, Paul (R)

District Office: (909) 242-6588 Elected in 1988. Member of Ways and Means. 1992: 6% F, 18% C 1991: 16% F, 22% C 1990: 24% F

**66. Haynes, Ray (R)** District Office: (909)699-1113 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Water, Parks & Wildlife.

#### 67. Allen, Doris (R)

District Office: (714) 821-1500 Elected in 1982. Member of Ways & Means. 1992: 0% F, 0% C 1991: 9% F, 35% C 1990: 35% F

**68.** Pringle, Curt (R) District Office: (714) 539-7605 *Elected in 1992.* Member of Local Government.

**69.** Umberg, Tom (D) District Office: (714) 537-4477 Chair of Environmental Safety. Member of Transportation. 1992: 67% F, 75% C 1991: 91% F, 100% C

**70. Ferguson, Gil (R)** District. Office: (714) 756-0665 *Elected in 1984*. Member of Housing & Community Development. 1992: 0% F, 0% C 1991: 5% F 1990: 17% F

#### 71. Conroy, Mickey (R)

District Office: (714) 998-0980 Elected in 1991. Member of Utilities & Commerce. 1992: 0% F

#### **72. Johnson, Ross (R)** District Office: (714) 738-5853

District Office: (714) 738-585 *Elected in 1978.* Member of Ways & Means. 1992: 0% F 1991: 5% F 1990: 0% F

#### 73. Morrow, Bill (R)

District Office: (619) 727-0920 Elected in 1992. Member of Natural Resources.

#### 74, Frazee, Robert (R)

District Office: (619) 438-5665 Elected in 1978. Member of Transportation; Local Government; Water, Parks & Wildlife. 1992: 6% F, 0% C 1991: 15% F 1990: 22% F, 7% C

#### 75. Goldsmith, Jan (R)

District Office: (619) 486-5191 Elected in 1992. Member of Consumer Protection; Judiciary; Transportation.

#### 76. Gotch, Mike (D)

District Office: (6 19) 294-7600 Elected in 1990. Vice-Chair of Natural Resources. Member of Ways & Means; Local Government. 1992: 88% F, 100% C 1991: 100% F, 100% C

#### 77. Connolly, Tom (D)

District Office: (619) 465-7723 Elected in 1992. Vice-Chair of Judiciary.

#### 78. Alpert, Deidre (D)

District Office: (619) 457-5775 Elected in 1990. Member of Utilities & Commerce; Ways & Means. 1992: 100% F 1991: 100% F, 100% C

#### **79. Peace, Steve (D)** District Office: (619) 426

District Office: (619) 426- 1617 *Elected in 1982.* Member of Utilities & Commerce; Environmental Safety. 1992: 80% F, 20% C 1991: 79% F 1990: 95% F, 88% C

#### 80. Bornstein, Julie (D)

District Office: (619) 773-0558 Elected in 1992. Member of Housing & Community Development.

### **Senate Roster**

Below is a district-order listing of State Senate Members for the 1993 Legislative Session. These are the new district numbers, which are different from those of the 1992 Legislative Session. The description for each Senate Member includes a listing of their environmental committee assignment(s) and a recent history of their environmental scores on the floor (F) and in committee (C). State Senators are up for re-election every 4 years. To contact your Senator about his or her environmental voting record please write to:

#### Senator\_

#### California State Senate State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

#### 1. Leslie, Tim (R)

District Office: (916) 969-8232 Elected in 1991. Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife. 1992: 15% F, 17% C 1991: 19% F, 20% C

#### 2. Thompson, Michael (D)

District Office: (707) 224- 1990 Assembly Member 1990-92 Elected to the Senate in 1993.

#### 3. Marks, Milton (D)

District Office: (415) 479-6612 *Elected in 1967.* Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife. 1992: 95% F, 100% C 1991: 95% F, 100% C 1990: 88% F, 83% C

# 4. This seat is vacant, and will be filled by special election in Spring 1993.

#### 5. Johnston, Patrick (D)

District Office: (209) 948-7930 *Elected in 1991.* Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife; Transportation. 1992: 90% F, 100% C 1991: 78% F, 100% C

#### 6. Greene, Leroy (D)

District Office: (916) 481-6540 *Elected in 1982.* Member of Appropriations; Governmental Organization. 1992: 63% F, 70% C 1991: 74% F, 80% C 1990: 83% F, 80% C

#### 7. Boatwright, Daniel (D)

District Office: (510) 689-1973 *Elected in 1980.* Member of Transportation. 1992: 67% F 1991: 77% F 1990: 85% F

#### 8. Kopp, Quentin (I)

District Office: (415) 952-5666 *Elected in 1986.* Chair of Transportation. Member of Local Government.

1992: 62% F, 83% C 1991: 71% F, 90% C 1990: 76% F, 87% C

#### 9. Petris, Nicholas C. (D)

District Office: (510) 286-1333 *Elected in 1967.* Member of Judiciary. 1992: 94% F 1991: 91% F 1990: 88% F

#### 10. Lockyer, Bill (D)

District Office: (510) 582-8800 Elected in 1982. Chair of Judiciary. Member of Appropriations; Toxics & Public Safety; Governmental Organization. 1992: 90% F, 84% C 1991: 86% F, 87% C 1990: 88% F, 87% C

#### 11. Morgan, Rebecca (R)

District Office: (415) 688-6330 *Elected in 1984.* Member of Energy & Public Utilities. 1992: 50% F 1991: no score 1990: 27% F

#### 12. McCorquodale, Dan (D)

District Office: (209) 576-6231 *Elected in 1982.* Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife. Vice Chair of Transportation. Chair of Agriculture & Water Resources. 1992: 100% F, 83% C 1991: 85% F, 79% C 1990: 100% F, 75% C

#### **13. Alquist, Alfred (D)** District Office: (408)286-8318 *Elected in 1966.*

Member of Appropriations; Energy & Public Utilities; Governmental Organization. 1992: 65% F, 62% C 1991: 84% F, 79% C 1990: 87% F, 78% C

#### 14. Maddy, Kevin (R)

District Office: (209)445-5567 Elected in 1979 Minority Floor Leader. Vice Chair of Governmental Organization. 1992: 30% F, 14% C 1991: 17% F, 43% C 1990: 35%

#### 15. Mello, Henry (D)

District Office: (468)373-0773 *Elected in 1980, Majority Whip.* Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife; Appropriations; Governmental Organization. 1992: 89% F, 100% C 1991: 80% F, 73% C 1990: 87% F

#### 16. Wyman, Phil (R)

Assembly member 1978-92 Elected to Senate in 1993.

#### 17. Rogers, Don (R)

District Office: (805) 385-2927 *Elected in 1986.* Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife. 1992: 5% F, 25% C 1991: 5% F, 0% C 1990: 12%F, 0% C

#### 18. Hart, Gary (D)

District Office: (805) 966-1766 *Elected in 1982.* Vice Chair of Natural Resources & Wildlife. Member of Energy & Public Utilities. 1992: 100% F, 75% C 1991: 95% F, 100% C 1990: 94% F, 83% C

#### 19. Wright, Cathie (R)

District Office: (805) 522-2920

Assembly Member 1980-92. Elected to the Senate in 1992. Member of Toxics & Public Safety. **20. Roberti, David (D)** District Office: (818) 901-5588 Elected in 1971. President Pro Tempore. Chair of Rules. Member of Judiciary.

1992: 95% F 1991: 91% F, 71% C 1990: 94% F

#### 21. Russell, Newton R. (R)

District Office: (818) 247-7021 Elected in 1974. Minority Whip. Vice Chair of Energy & Public Utilities. Member of Local Government; Transportation. 1992: 15% F 1991: 33% F 1990: 18% F

#### 22. Rosenthal, Herschel (D)

District Office: (310) 479-5588 *Elected in 19821* Chair of Energy and Public Utilities. Member of Governmental Organization. 1992: 100% F, 100% C 1991: 91% F, 94%C 1990: 100% F

#### 23. Hayden, Tom (D)

District Office: (310) 451-5733 Assembly Member 1982-92. Elected to the Senate in 1992. Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife; Toxics & Public Safety; Transportation.

#### 24. Torres, Art (D)

District Office: (213) 620-2529 *Elected in 1982.* Member of Appropriations; Governmental Organization; Natural Resources & Wildlife; Toxics & Public Safety Management. 1992: 100% F, 90% C 1991: 90% F, 95% C 1990: 100% F, 100% C

#### 25. Hughes, Teresa (D)

District Office: (310) 412-0393 Assembly Member 1975-92. Elected to the Senate in 1992. Member of Local Government; Governmental Organization.

#### 26. Calderon, Chuck (D)

District Office: (2 13) 724-6175 Assembly Member 1982-89. Elected to the Senate in 1990. Chair of Toxics & Public Safety. Member of Local Government. 1992: 82% F 1991: 87% F 1990: 71% F

#### 27. Beverly, Robert (R)

District Office: (310) 540-1611 Elected in 1976. Vice Chair of Appropriations. Member of Governmental Organization. 1992: 53% F, 59% C 1991: 50% F, 60% C 1990: 56% F, 58% C

#### 28. Watson, Diane (D).

District Office: (213) 295-6655 *Elected in 1978.* Chair of Health & Human Services. Member of Judiciary. 1992: 93% F 1991: 89% F, 100% C 1990: 93% F

#### 29. Hill, Frank (R)

District Office: (310) 947-3821 Assembly Member 1982-89, Elected to Senate in 1990. Member of Governmental Organization. 1992: 50% F, 33% C 1991: 25% F, 60% C 1990: 50% F

#### **30.** Dills, Ralph C. (D)

District Office: (213) 324-4949 *Elected in 1966.* Chair of Governmental Organization. Member of Appropriations. 1992: 59% F, 38% C 1991: 73% F, 80% C 1990: 56% F, 50% C

#### 31. Leonard, Bill (R)

District Office: (909) 946-4889 Assembly Member 1978-88. Elected to the Senate in 1988. Member of Appropriations; Toxics & Public Safety. 1992: 0% F, 22% C 1991: 23% F, 26% C 1990: 24% F, 38% C

#### 32. Hurtt

*Elected in a special election in* 1993.

#### 33. Lewis, John (R)

District Office: (714) 939-0604 Assembly Member 1980-90. Elected to the Senate in 1991. Member of Natural Resources & Wildlife. 1992: 6% F 1991: 14% F

#### 34. Ayala, Ruben (D)

District Office: (909) 466-6882 Elected in 1974. Vice Chair of Local Government. Member of Transportation. 1992: 50% F, 71% C 1991: no score 1990: 80% F, 60% C

#### 35. Bergeson, Marian (R)

District Office: (714) 640- 1137 *Elected in 1984.* Chair of Local Government. Member of Appropriations; Transportation. 1992: 45% F, 44% C 1991: 50% F, 64% C 1990: 54% F, 50% C

#### **36.** Presley, Robert (D)

District Office: (909) 782-4111 Elected in 1974. Chair of Appropriations. Member of Agriculture & Water Resources. 1992: 67% F, 73% C 1991: 80% F, 90% C 1990: 71% F, 62% C

#### 37. Kelley, David (R)

District Office: (619) 675-8211/(619) 346-2099 Assembly Member 1978-92. Elected to the Senate in 1992. Member of Appropriations; Transportation.

#### 38. Craven, William (R)

District Office: (619) 438-3814 Elected in 1978. Member of Agriculture & Water Resources; Local Government. 1992: no score 1991: no F score, 40% C 1990: no score

#### 39. Killea, Lucy (I)


District Office: (619) 696-6955 Assembly Member 1982-88 Elected to Senate in 1989. Member of Appropriations; Transportation. 1992: 67% F, 100% C 1991: 92% F, 93% C 1990: 100% F

#### 40. Deddeh, Wadie P. (D)

District Office: (619) 427-7080 *Elected in 1982.* 

Member of Health & Human Services. 1992: 68% F 1991: 72% F 1990: 70% F, 80% C

## Join The League!



\$100	\$75	\$50	\$25	
Please send	my newsleti	ter and other	Conservation Vot	er materials to:
Name	u draustiere eresia. Dahr dat die Suderto	er sensi se forse fors Regioners forse forse		
INGILLE				
	SS			
Street Addre		n mesen an an an an an an an Gan San Angelan an San Angelan Gan Angelan an a		
Street Addre City/State/Zij				
Street Addre City/State/Zij Phone				