


2000 CALIFORNIA



27th Annual
Guide to
Environmental
Legislation
and Votes

ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

California League of Conservation Voters

January 2001

Northern California Office:

1212 Broadway, Suite 630
Oakland, CA 94612
ph: 510.271.0900; fax: 510.271.0901

Southern California Office:

10780 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 210
Los Angeles, CA 90025
Ph: 310.441.4162; fax: 310.441.1685

E-mail: ecovote@ecovote.org
Ecovote Online: www.ecovote.org

CLCV Staff:

Jon Rainwater, Executive Director
David Allgood, Southern California Director
Felicia Chavez, Membership Assistant
Mike Cluster, Membership Administrator
Carol Handelman, Administrative Director
Jason Hatch, Outreach Director
Elis Imboden, Administrative Associate
Scott Leathers, Field Membership Manager
Jan Lee, Assistant to the Executive Director
Rico Mastrodonato, Membership Director
Ken Nicholson, Membership Manager
Robert A. Pérez, Communications Director
Sarah Rose, Political Director
Rodney Scott, Development Director
Mark States, Administrative Associate
Mark Welther, Membership Director

Legislative Advocate:

Pete Price, Price Consulting

Membership Representatives:

Amy Anderson, Tom Bates, Ryan Bell,
Christie Diedrick, Erin Hardie,
Alejo Hernandez, Amarina Kealoha,
Dave Krzysik, Susan Orren,
Lillian Phaeton, Tasha Robbins,
Jesse Roseman, Dauphne Ruffner,
Ahimsa Sumchai, Julia Tidwell,
Monica Wesolowska, Betty Jane Wilhoit

Editor: Robert A. Pérez

Contributors: Ann Notthoff, Pete Price,

Jon Rainwater, Sarah Rose,
Dauphne Ruffner, Monica Wesolowska

Permission granted to quote from or
reproduce portions of this publication if
properly credited.

Printed on recycled paper using
soy-based inks.

Designed by Mark Deitch & Associates,
Inc., Burbank. www.websitedesigns.com



MESSAGE FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

With more leaders than usual claiming to be good for the environment, the California League of Conservation Voters plunged into this past legislative session with high expectations. In some ways our expectations were met – this year every single bill used in the Scorecard to rate politicians is a bill that is good for the environment. That means to receive a good score, politicians were challenged to vote "yes" and many of them did.

However, the final tally of "yes" votes doesn't tell the entire story.

Inside the pages of the *2000 California Environmental Scorecard*, you can read the specifics of the bills we worked on. While we do have some satisfying victories, we also report on the ways in which our leaders failed us, watering down good bills before they were signed, or letting them die in committee.

The serious problems facing California will require a bolder and more determined approach by our political leaders in 2001.

The state energy crisis is high on everyone's agenda. But the state legislature and the Governor must take bold steps to address the issue. Recent surveys in California suggest that the vast majority of residents, as high as 89%, are trying to cut back on electricity use. Better efforts at energy conservation and a move to clean and renewable power generation must be a significant part of any legislative proposal to address this problem.

Water quality continues to rank high on the list of priorities for Californians. The sources of water pollution are widespread, and their impacts are great. Whether it is underground storage tanks leaking MTBE, pesticides and fertilizers that run off farm fields into rivers, urban runoff into coastal and inland waters, or industrial pollutants discharged directly into waterways, pollution threatens our drinking water, our beaches, and our fisheries. We must do a better job of reducing all sources of water pollution and holding polluters accountable.

We've had some strong legislative victories in the last few years related to children's health. Nonetheless, children remain the most vulnerable among us when it comes to environmentally related illness. Exposure to lead, especially in schools where children spend a large part of their day, is a serious issue that must be addressed.

Finally, strong environmental laws are only as good as our ability to enforce them. The agencies responsible for enforcing our environmental laws are seriously underfunded. After 16 years of neglect under the two previous administrations, Governor Davis now has the opportunity and responsibility to commit more resources in his budget for better enforcement of our existing environmental laws.

So for those who have weathered with us the tides of environmental support in Sacramento over the decades, thank you for understanding our work is never done. And for those here for the first time, please join us. We want more than your vote and more than your money. We want the clout that comes from having so many Californians behind us that we can convince all politicians, no matter what their past records, that they must do better. Our state, and our planet, deserve it.

Jon Rainwater
Executive Director

CONTENTS

Political Action for	Voting Index	4
Environmental Protection.....1	Five-Year Averages	5
Notes on the Scorecard	The Agenda: Bill Descriptions	6 - 7
Executive Summary	Assembly Floor Votes	8 - 11
Best & Worst	Senate Floor Votes	12 - 13
	Regional Scores	14 - 15
	2001 Legislative Roster	16

CLCV: POLITICAL ACTION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

The California League of Conservation Voters is the non-partisan political action arm of California's environmental movement. For over 25 years, CLCV's mission has been to protect the environmental quality of the state by working to elect environmentally responsible candidates to state and federal office, then holding them accountable to the environmental agenda.

CLCV conducts rigorous research on candidates and concentrates on the races where our resources can make a difference. We back our endorsements with expertise, assisting candidates with the media, fundraising and grassroots organizing strategies they need to win. We work to educate voters, then help get out the vote on Election Day.

CLCV is also a legislative watchdog. Each year, we track scores of environmental bills and votes in Sacramento and work to make sure legislators hear from environmental voters. At session's end we publish the California Environmental Scorecard to help voters distinguish between the rhetoric and the reality of a lawmaker's record.

The Scorecard records the most important environmental votes of each legislative year. Now in its 27th year, the Scorecard – distributed to 30,000 CLCV members, other environmental organizations and the news media – is the authoritative source on the state's environmental politics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CLCV thanks the following organizations for their contributions to the 2000 Scorecard: American Lung Association, Audubon Society, Californians Against Waste, California Native Plant Society, California Public Interest Research Group, Clean Water Action, Friends of the River, Environmental Defense, Environmental Working Group, Mark Deitch and Associates, Mountain Lion Foundation, Natural Resources Defense Council, Planning and Conservation League, Price Consulting, Sierra Club California and V. John White Associates.

NOTES ON THE SCORECARD

This edition of the California Environmental Scorecard evaluates floor votes in the 2000 session of the Legislature on 17 key environmental bills.

Bills listed are not all the environmental bills of the session, but those judged most important by a consensus of the environmental community (see "Acknowledgements" on this page for a list of participating organizations).

Pro-environmental votes are designated by ✓; anti-environmental votes by ✗. Members who did not vote, were absent or abstained are marked **NV**; those not eligible for a vote because of a special election transition between one legislative body and the other are marked **NE**. Members missing 50% or more of votes in 2000 were not given a score and are marked by ~. In previous legislative years, members who were not yet elected to the state legislature were also marked by ~. Final scores are the percentage of pro-environment votes cast, not counting absences.

CLCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Executive Committee

Ruth Hunter, President
Paul Growald, Chairman
Charles Grace, Chairman Emeritus
Kimo Campbell, Vice President, Northern CA
Cliff Gladstein, Vice President, Southern CA
Ann Notthoff, Endorsements Committee Chair
V. John White, Program Committee and
Audit Committee Chair
Fran Diamond, Nominating Committee Chair
Thomas Adams, Development Committee Chair
Larry Wan, Treasurer
Doug Linney, Secretary
Dian Gruenich, Immediate Past President

Sally Bingham
Melinda Bittan
Ann Boren
Felicia Chavez
Karen Edson
Dr. Alan Harper
Jason Hatch
Jennifer Hernandez
Wendy James
George Minter
John A. Perez
Steve Rosin
Sam Schuchat
Jan Sharpless

ADVISORY COUNCIL

Ruben Aronin, Earth Communications Office
David Chatfield, Californians for Pesticide Reform
Michael Bennet Cline, Train Riders Assn. Of CA
Antonio De La Rosa, LA Center for Law and Justice
Gail Rudderman Feuer, Natural Resources
Defense Council, LA
John Gamboa, Greenlining Institute
Mark Gold, Heal the Bay
Antonio Gonzalez, Southwest Voter Registration Project
Juana Gutierrez, Madres del Este de Los Angeles
Randy Hayes, Rainforest Action Network
Bonnie Holmes-Gen, American Lung Association
Brian Huse, National Parks & Conservation Association
David Lewis, Save San Francisco Bay Association
Micci Martinez, Clean Water Action
John McCaull, Audubon Society
Monica Moore, Pesticide Action Network
Marilyn Morton, The Ethnic Coalition
Mark Murray, Californians Against Waste
Mel Nutter, League for Coastal Protection
Buck Parker, Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund
Dave Phillips, Earth Island Institute
Gary Phillips, Multicultural Collaborative
Betsy Reifsnider, Friends of the River
Will Rogers, Trust for Public Land
David Roe, Environmental Defense
Lynn Sadler, Mountain Lion Foundation
Bruce Saito, LA Conservation Corps
Rahman Shabazz, Community Coalition for Change, Inc.
Ted Smith, Silicon Valley Toxics Coalition
Frances Spivy-Weber, Mono Lake Committee
Bob Sulnick, American Oceans Campaign
Connie Stewart, North Coast Environmental Center
Erik Vink, American Farmland Trust
Bill Walker, Environmental Working Group
Jay Thomas Watson, Wilderness Society
Jane Williams, Desert Citizens Against Pollution

Organization listed for identification purposes only

2000

MODERATION IN THE MILLENNIUM YEAR

Californians continue to feel strongly about public health and a clean environment. Their consistent and strong support has helped elect a pro-environment majority in the Senate and Assembly and serves as a constant reminder to the Governor and all elected officials that the environment cannot be ignored. And in 2000 it wasn't. The annual state budget, a product of the Governor's initiatives and legislative prodding, contains \$35 million in new baseline funding for one of the most troubled and yet environmentally important state agencies, the Department of Fish and Game; \$150 million for cleaner school buses and other programs to reduce diesel emissions; \$13 million to reduce nonpoint water pollution and improve stormwater management; and \$85 million to speed cleanup of contaminated brownfield sites.

In the midst of the state's biggest crisis of the year — skyrocketing electricity rates and a volatile electricity marketplace — the Governor signed the year's most important energy legislation: **AB 995 (Wright)** and **SB 1194 (Sher)** continue record investments in energy conservation and efficiency programs, development of renewable energy, and research into alternative energy sources. California's energy future depends on these programs having equal importance with new electricity generation.

The Legislature and Governor enacted **AB 2061 (Lowenthal)**, which provides grant funds to encourage the purchase of ZEVs and tax incentives to encourage the development of alternative fuels to replace dirty diesel. Senator **Don Perata** negotiated an agreement with industry in **SB 1865** to increase penalties for air pollution violations so that they are on a par with penalties for water pollution and hazardous waste. And Assemblywoman **Susan Davis** pushed through **AB 2286**, forcing the Resources Agency to finally update its 22-year-old wetlands inventory.

After years of effort, environmentalists, working with committed and persistent legislative authors, won approval of **SB 1771 (Sher)**, which creates a statewide greenhouse gas emissions registry to begin tracking emissions reductions; **AB 2260 (Shelley)** requiring that parents be notified of pesticides used in schools and creating a school integrated pest management program to train school personnel on least-toxic pest management practices; and **SB 876 (Escutia)**, which increased the waste tire fee from \$.25 per tire, the lowest in the nation, to \$1.00 per tire, to clean up waste tire piles and find alternative uses for waste tires. The reward for longest struggle probably goes to Senator **Jack O'Connell** and the Planning and Conservation League, who worked many years for a land conservation tax credit for landowners who donate high value land to the state for conservation purposes. Credit also goes to Governor Davis for including funding for the tax credit in his budget bill.

Still, several bold proposals didn't fit the moderate matrix. **AB 717 (Keeley)** would have placed a two-year moratorium on clear-cutting until a panel of scientists could determine the impact of clearcutting and similar timber harvesting practices. The issue galvanized previously pro-logging Sierra counties, but the Governor and Legislature seemed relieved it never got a hearing. Another big idea — to remove dams that have outlived their useful purpose, restoring fish spawning grounds in the process (**SB 1540, Sher**) — couldn't even make it out of the Assembly Appropriations Committee as a study bill. Other bills were signed into law only after authors acceded to the Administration's insistence on amendments that gave bold ideas a distinct blush of modesty.

At the regulatory level, environmentalists continue to fight at the Board of Forestry to save weak timber harvest watershed regulations from being replaced by even weaker ones. The Coastal Commission, despite a modest increase in this year's budget, remains underfunded to carry out its mandate for timely processing of permits and for enforcement of

the Coastal Act, leaving the Commission struggling to keep up with the development boom on the coast. On the other hand, in September the Air Resources Board supported a truly bold idea by voting unanimously to uphold the zero emission vehicle mandate, despite a withering attack from the auto/oil alliance. However, the Board may reverse course, having unveiled a proposal in early December in which ZEVs will occupy a dramatically diminished position in the 10 year old program. That same month, the Board also gave in to an industry campaign to "greenwash" diesel, by voting to spend \$12.5 million out of \$50 million on so-called "green" diesel school buses, rather than investing more money in natural gas buses, the cleanest technology available.

A number of important bills died in legislative committees or were vetoed. Unfinished business that deserves attention in 2001 includes bills making the state a leader in incorporating energy-efficient design measures and alternative energy technologies into its buildings (**SB 280, Bowen**); making environmental justice meaningful by helping low-income and minority communities participate in decisions on local projects (**SB 1408, Alarcon**); prohibiting environmentally destructive new roads in state parks (**SB 1277, Hayden**); identifying dams for removal from California streams (**SB 1540, Sher**); and requiring that large new developments prove they have an available water supply before they can be approved (**AB 1219, Kuehl**).

Governor Davis ushered in the new century on a wave of moderation that he is riding like a Santa Cruz surfer. No one doubts any more that the Governor is a committed, disciplined, and proud moderate or that he has largely succeeded in molding Sacramento politics to his vision. After 16 years of gubernatorial antipathy to environmental protection, the environmental community was anxious for swift counter-measures to restore a progressive vision that had been seriously undermined by budget cuts and the bizarre concept of "polluter as customer." Instead we are challenged to define progress in an age of moderation. Appropriately, the Governor receives a moderate score of 75%, very close to his 77% from last year. Like last year, he also earned extra credit, this time for his active support of the Parks and Water bonds (Props. 12 & 13), approved on the March ballot, for his environment-friendly budget and for signing the Wright/Sher legislation on energy conservation and renewable technologies.

There was more at work than just the Governor's politically moderate genetic code. A "moderate caucus" of Assembly Democrats has emerged to exert swing-vote leverage against environmental legislation they deem immoderate. And as environmental protections, inadequate though they may be, become more fully woven into government through statutes and regulations, they become grist for the grinding bureaucracy, where workshops pass for action and the search for consensus becomes an excuse for inaction. Finally, there's the elephant in the room no one wants to talk about — the influence of ever-growing gobs of money raised by all elected officials and their opponents, much of it from the very polluters who make environmental protection so necessary.

In this time of moderation and incrementalism, we are asked to be content with small steps, while the big issues get nibbled around the edges and threaten to overwhelm. And truth be told, this Scorecard does a better job of counting who took the small steps than of holding us all accountable for the big issues. The challenge to the Governor and the Legislature — and especially to environmentalists — is to overcome the desire to be satisfied with incremental steps and instead recognize where we are actually falling behind in confronting the big issues that pose the greatest long-term threats to California's environment.

BEST & *worst* of 2000

Coastal Mobilization

Defenders of the California Coastal Act mobilized in an unprecedented expression of opposition to AB 2310 (Ducheny). The bill would have would have stripped the Coastal Commission of its authority to require that coastal habitat conservation plans conform to the Coastal Act. AB 2310 died in the Assembly.

Best Bill That Didn't Go Anywhere

Assemblyman Fred Keeley's AB 717. Responding to the plan by Sierra Pacific Industries to triple its clearcuts, the bill called for a 2-year moratorium on clearcutting until its impacts could be assessed. Showing no concern, the Legislature, the Board of Forestry and the Governor all balked on the issue. The bill died on the Senate floor without a vote.

The Wright Stuff

Assemblyman Rod Wright masterminded the session's most important green energy bill. AB 995 sent a clear message that clean energy and energy conservation are essential pieces of California's energy future. The bill extended for 10 years California's mandate for long-term investment in energy efficiency, renewable energy and research on clean energy technologies. AB 995 represents the state's most promising response to the current crisis of rising electricity prices and a badly stressed power grid. Wright's forceful advocacy drew strong bipartisan support to the bill and united an impressive coalition of consumer, labor, business and environmental groups.

Way to Go, Abel!

When legislators make a vast improvement in their Scorecard scores, they deserve to get some credit. Assemblyman Abel Maldonado stands out among his Republican colleagues in the State Assembly for doing just that. In 1999, Assemblyman Maldonado earned 50%. Although not the highest score among Republicans in the State Assembly (that goes to Jim Cunneen with an 83%), his 82% score in 2000 represents the most impressive increase among Republicans in either legislative body. We hope that he

keeps it up and serves as an example to his Republican colleagues.

The Green Machine

Mere mortal legislator or environmental super hero? Always a friend of the environment, Senator Byron Sher proved to be the "Green Machine" this legislative session in the large number of environmental bills he carried. SB 1771 was among the Sher bills signed by the Governor, which will require the state to inventory all state sources of greenhouse gas emissions and investigate strategies for reductions. He also wrote a "good roads" bill (SB 1629), which the Governor unfortunately vetoed, to require bicycle and pedestrian pathways wherever possible on state roads. Another of his bills, SB 2130, would have strengthened air pollution penalties. In 1999, he was successful in getting the South Yuba River designated as wild and scenic (SB 496).

Mr. Smart Growth

As a former housing policy expert and homebuilder, State Treasurer Philip Angelides knows the difference between dumb development and smart growth. Since his election in 1998, Angelides has revamped how affordable housing developers qualify for tax credits: instead of a lottery, credits are awarded for adhering to sustainable growth policies like proximity to transit, retail shops, and schools. Angelides also has sponsored legislation to fund "smart growth" planning in economically distressed communities (AB 779) and state buildings (AB 2494).

A Bicycle Built for Jim

Senate Minority Leader and avid bicycle enthusiast Jim Brulte peddled one of the best alternative transportation bills of the year. SB 1772 increased funding for bike paths and other bicycle transit projects by transferring \$7.2 million annually from the State Highway Account to the Bicycle Lane Account. Now if he could only fix that flat tire of a voting record...

Appropriations Watch

In 2001, environmentalists should keep a watchful eye on the Appropriations

Committee in both houses, where a bill's fiscal effects are reviewed and legislators get a second chance to take a swipe at policies they don't like. In 2000, several important environmental bills met their death in Assembly Approps. SB 1408 (Alarcón) would have provided technical assistance grants to low-income communities and communities of color to participate in local decisions with environmental justice implications, and SB 1540 (Sher) would have studied the feasibility of removing or modifying existing dams in order to restore fish spawning habitat.

The Watering Hole

The newly formed Moderate Caucus in the Assembly has become the place where good environmental bills become watered down and weakened. Led by moderate Dems from the Central Valley, the Caucus meets regularly to discuss strategies to significantly weaken pro-environmental and pro-labor legislation. Two targets included AB 2468 (Romero), to increase farm worker protections, and AB 2260 (Shelley), reducing pesticide exposures on school grounds.

Special Salutes . . .

Tom Hayden entered the Assembly 18 years ago known by all — a hero to some, a pariah to others. By force of intellect, persistence, and adherence to fundamental principles, he outgrew the caricatures and emerged as the environmental conscience of the Legislature. Sen. Hayden distinguished himself on issues from endangered species to urban environmental protection. His legislative vision will be missed.

The legislative career of Hilda Solis has been filled with many firsts. In 1994, she became the first Latina ever elected to the State Senate. During her time in office, she has tackled environmental issues facing low-income communities and communities of color, writing the first law that solely addresses environmental justice. In April 2000, Solis became the first woman ever awarded the *John F. Kennedy Profile in Courage Award*, for her work on environmental justice issues. We're losing a great Senator in Sacramento, but luckily we're gaining a great Congresswoman in Washington, DC.

2000 VOTING INDEX

ASSEMBLY

Average of all Assembly members:	65
In 1999:	61
Average Republican Assembly score:	16
In 1999:	13
Average Democratic Assembly score:	98
In 1999:	92
Percentage of Assembly Republicans scoring 20 or lower:	71
In 1999:	78
Percentage of Assembly Democrats scoring 80 or higher:	96
In 1999:	89
Republican Assembly members with scores of 50 or higher:	3
Jim Cunneen (83)	
Abel Maldonado (82)	
Anthony Pescetti (50)	
Democratic Assembly members with scores of 50 or lower:	0

Perfect 100s: (42)

Alquist, Aroner, Bock, Calderon, Cardenas, Cedillo, Corbett, Correa, Davis, Ducheny, Dutra, Firebaugh, Gallegos, Hertzberg, Honda, Jackson, Keeley, Knox, Kuehl, Lempert, Longville, Loewenthal, Machado, Mazzoni, Migden, Nakano, Papan, Romero, Scott, Shelley, Steinberg, Strom-Martin, Thomson, Torlakson, Villaraigosa, Vincent, Washington, Wayne, Wesson, Wiggins, Wildman, Wright

SENATE

Average of all Senators:	66
In 1999:	59
Average Republican Senate floor score:	11
In 1999:	11
Average Democratic Senate floor score:	98
In 1999:	98
Percentage of Senate Republicans scoring 20 or lower:	79
In 1999:	87
Percentage of Senate Democrats scoring 80 or higher:	96
In 1999:	96
Republican Senators with scores of 50 or higher:	1
Bruce McPherson	(73)
Democratic Senators with scores of 50 or lower:	(1)
Jim Costa	(50)

Perfect 100s: (23)

Alarcón, Alpert, Bowen, Burton, Chesbro, Escutia, Figueroa, Hayden, Hughes, Johnston, Karnette, Murray, O'Connell, Ortiz, Peace, Perata, Polanco, Schiff, Sher, Solis, Soto, Speier, Vasconcellos

GOVERNOR GRAY DAVIS

Score in 2000: 75
Score in 1999: 77

MOST IMPROVED¹

Dean Florez (+34), Roderick Wright (+33), Abel Maldonado (+32), Dennis Cardoza (+23),


WORST DECLINES

Bruce McPherson (-27), Marilyn Brewer (-15), Rod Pacheco (-14), Lynne Leach (-13), Jim Brulte (-11)


¹ - To be eligible for the "Most Improved" list, legislators must achieve a minimum score of 40.

FIVE-YEAR AVERAGES

ASSEMBLY



SENATE



THE AGENDA: BILLS THAT MAKE UP THE SCORES

- ✓ PRO-ENVIRONMENT BILL
- ✗ ANTI-ENVIRONMENT BILL
- ▲ PRO-ENVIRONMENT ACTION BY GOVERNOR DAVIS
- ▼ ANTI-ENVIRONMENT ACTION BY GOVERNOR DAVIS

AIR QUALITY

1. ALTERNATIVE FUELS/ ZERO EMISSION VEHICLES ✓

Wider use of alternative fuels and vehicles that reduce air pollutant emissions is essential for California to comply with state and federal air quality standards. **AB 2061 (Lowenthal)** provides two important financial incentives to help meet clean air requirements. First, it reduces the state excise tax on low-emission experimental fuels from 18 cents to 9 cents per gallon, to encourage their use in heavy duty trucks that rely on dirtier diesel fuel. Second, **AB 2061** provides \$18 million for grants of up to \$3,000 per year to individuals or businesses that buy or lease zero emission vehicles.

▲ Signed into law

2. GREENHOUSE GASES ✓

The evidence continues to mount that global climate change is occurring as a result of human activities that emit carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. Meanwhile, California, with an economy larger than most nations, has been very slow to accept its responsibility to reduce greenhouse gases. **SB 1771 (Sher)** creates the California Climate Action Registry, where California businesses and public institutions can voluntarily record their greenhouse emissions reductions. The statewide registry will help establish a baseline of greenhouse gas emissions against which to measure future performance.

▲ Signed into law

3. CLEAN AIR ENFORCEMENT ✓

Fair and firm enforcement of environmental laws is essential to environmental protection. Yet many of the state's air quality laws have lower penalties for violations than similar laws covering water quality and hazardous waste. Environmentalists joined with the California District Attorneys Association to support **SB 1865 (Perata)**, which increases penalties for air quality violations, especially those that are negligent, willful, or intentional.

▲ Signed into law

COASTAL & OCEAN PROTECTION

4. OCEAN POLLUTION ✓

Large cruise ships are floating cities that generate up to eight tons of garbage, 200,000 gallons of sewage, and 25,000 gallons of oil-contaminated water on a one-week cruise. The rapid growth of the cruise ship industry poses a threat to California's ocean waters and coastal environ-

ment. **AB 2746 (Nakano)** requires CalEPA to create a Cruise Ship Environmental Task Force to collect information on waste generated by and discharged from cruise ships and to report its findings to the Legislature.

5 Signed into law

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

5. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ✓

Last year the Legislature and Governor took the first step towards a state environmental justice policy by enacting **SB 115 (Solis)**, which requires CalEPA to develop a model environmental justice mission statement for the boards, departments, and offices within CalEPA. **SB 89 (Escutia)** creates the tools necessary for CalEPA to meet its environmental justice mission, by creating an interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice and a community-based advisory committee to the Working Group.

▲ Signed into law

6. COMMUNITY INPUT ✓

Low-income and communities of color often lack the resources to participate in a meaningful way in decisions that are made about facilities in their neighborhoods that could harm public health or the environment. **SB 1408 (Alarcón)** would have made grants available to community organizations to get the technical assistance they need to play a constructive role in decisions with environmental justice implications.

Died in Assembly Appropriations Committee.

ENERGY

7. GREEN BUILDING ✓

The Green Building concept incorporates cost-effective energy efficiency measures, water conservation, and the use of alternative energy technologies and recycled materials into design and building standards. Especially in this era of high energy cost and consumption, the state should lead the way in encouraging Green Building practices. **SB 280 (Bowen)** would have required all new state buildings and publicly funded schools to exceed current energy efficiency standards and to follow Green Building practices, as determined by the state.

▼ Vetoed

8. LOW-INCOME UTILITY PROGRAMS ✓

Current law requires publicly- and privately-owned utilities to fund programs for low-income utility customers, including energy efficiency and rate discount programs. But some locally-owned utilities, especially irrigation districts that also provide electric service, have failed to offer these programs. **SB 1939 (Alarcón)** requires these utilities to meet their legal obligations and provide these public purpose programs.

▲ Signed into law

LAND & WILDLIFE CONSERVATION

9. COASTAL WETLANDS ✓

More than 90% of California's coastal wetlands have been lost or degraded. Various wetlands restoration projects are underway in the state, and the current state budget contains significant funds for wetlands and coastal restoration. Yet California's wetlands management plan is 22 years old. **AB 2286 (Davis)** requires the Resources Agency to update the statewide wetlands inventory and use the results to identify opportunities to restore or purchase wetlands and to provide essential information before deciding whether development should proceed in critical coastal areas.

▲ Signed into law

10. HABITAT PROTECTION ✓

State parks not only provide recreation for Californians, but also create wildlife habitat corridors, especially when they are linked with private conservancy lands and other land reserves. The construction of new roads through state parks, or the expansion of existing roads, often causes serious disruption to these habitat values. **SB 1277 (Hayden)** would have prohibited the construction of new roads in state parks, or improvements to existing roads if they would increase traffic capacity, unless specified conditions were met.

Held in Assembly Water, Parks & Wildlife Committee.

11. DAM REMOVAL ✓

More than 1,000 dams breach California rivers and streams, blocking fish spawning grounds and disrupting the natural stream flows. A growing number of these dams have outlived their intended purposes and should be removed or modified to restore fisheries and the riparian environment. **SB 1540 (Sher)** would have required the Resources Secretary to evaluate, in a report to the Legislature, the feasibility of removing or modifying existing dams in order to restore fish spawning habitat.

Died in Assembly Appropriations Committee.

SMARTH GROWTH

12. WATER AVAILABILITY ✓

The limits of California water availability are apparent, but developers continue to propose large residential developments with no assurance of water to supply the residents. **AB 1219 (Kuehl)** would have prohibited the approval of residential developments or more than 200 units unless the water provider could show that a sufficient, reliable water supply is available. Developer interests stalled the bill in the Senate.

Held in Senate Agricultural & Water Committee.

13. SMART GROWTH ✓

The state owns or leases more buildings than any other entity in California. Its development decisions can have a powerful impact on development and transit patterns. **AB 2494 (Steinberg)** would have applied "smart growth" concepts to state real estate decisions. It would have required the Department of General Services to consider sites that are within mixed-use or commercial districts and that support transit service, have pedestrian access to retail services, and are located in areas that need economic revitalization and affordable housing.

▼ Vetoed

SOLID WASTE & RECYCLING

14. TIRE RECYCLING ✓

Earlier this year, the tire fires in Tracy and Westley burned for weeks, contaminating the land and blanketing the region with heavy clouds of burning oil. To help clean up the state's huge tire piles and encourage secondary uses for recycled tires, **SB 876 (Escutia)** increases the state's waste tire fee from 25 cents to \$1.00 per tire. California's current waste tire fee is the lowest in the country and is inadequate to address the major environmental problems caused by mountains of used tires.

▲ Signed into law

TOXICS

15. PESTICIDES IN SCHOOLS ✓

Dangerous pesticides are used routinely at many California public schools. A recent survey of the 13 most populous school districts found that eight used Dursban to control weeds; the United State Environmental Protection Agency recently banned the use of Dursban in homes and schools because it poses an unacceptable risk to human health, particularly children. **AB 2260 (Shelley)** requires schools to notify parents of the pesticides used at their children's schools and to post warning signs when pesticides are applied on school grounds. The bill also requires the state to encourage the use of Integrated Pest Management at schools, by providing training and assistance to schools.

▲ Signed into law

16. FARM WORKER PROTECTION ✓

Farm workers often come into direct contact with toxic materials when they enter fields that have been recently sprayed with pesticides. Current law requires fields to be posted with warning signs only when the state prohibits workers from re-entering fields for more than seven days after pesticides are applied. **SB 1523 (Figueroa)** would have required warning signs to be posted for all pesticides with a re-entry interval of 24 hours or longer. According to the Assembly Agriculture Committee bill analysis, even under SB 1523, only 16 percent of pesticide applications would have triggered warning signs. The committee killed the bill nonetheless.

Died in Assembly Agricultural Committee.

TRANSPORTATION

17. BIKE & PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY ROADS ✓

Increasingly crowded streets not only mean more air pollution and traffic congestion, they also make it more hazardous for those who have chosen to walk or bike to work or school or for leisure. The hazards are heightened when streets don't offer bike lanes or safe pedestrian walkways. **SB 1629 (Sher)** would support a critical part of efforts to create environmentally – and community friendly – transportation infrastructure. Under SB 1629, bicycle travel would have – wherever feasible – been accommodated on a wide outside lane, shoulder, or bike lane; pedestrians would have sidewalks or paths.

▼ Vetoed

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			COAST	JUSTICE	ENERGY	
	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	54	46	47	50	44	45	60
ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	15	30	28	26	30	28	17
ANAESTAD 3	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
ACKERMAN 72	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ALQUIST 22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ARONER 14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ASHBURN 32	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BALDWIN 77	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X
BATES 73	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
BATTIN 80	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X
BAUGH 67	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BOCK 16	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BREWER 70	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BRIGGS 29	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X
CALDERON 58	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CAMPBELL 71	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
CARDENAS 39	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CARDOZA 26	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
CEDILLO 46	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CORBETT 18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CORREA 69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
COX 5	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓
CUNNEEN 24	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
DAVIS 76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DICKERSON 2	✓	X	NV	X	X	X	✓
DUCHENY 79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DUTRA 20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
FIREBAUGH 50	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
FLOREZ 30	✓	X	X	✓	NV	✓	✓
FLOYD 55	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV
FRUSETTA 28	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
GALLEGOS 57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GRANLUND 65	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X
HAVICE 56	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HERTZBERG 40	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HONDA 23	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HOUSE 25	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
JACKSON 35	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KALOOGIAN 74	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X
KEELEY 27	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
KNOX 42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KUEHL 41	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ - PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X - ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV - ABSENT, ABSTAINING OR NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

CONSERVATION 9	GROWTH		WASTE	TOXICS	TRANSPORTATION	2000 FLOOR SCORE	1999 FLOOR SCORE	1998 FLOOR SCORE	CONTINUED 
	12	13	14	15	17				
50	51	46	54	43	42				
28	21	30	21	28	30				
X	X	X	✓	X	X	15	9	~	ANAESTAD 3
X	NV	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	ACKERMAN 72
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	ALQUIST 22
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	100	100	ARONER 14
X	NV	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	ASHBURN 32
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	BALDWIN 77
X	X	X	✓	X	X	15	0	~	BATES 73
X	X	X	✓	X	X	8	8	0	BATTIN 80
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	8	0	BAUGH 67
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	BOCK 16
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	15	0	BREWER 70
X	NV	X	✓	X	X	17	7	~	BRIGGS 29
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	82	~	CALDERON 58
X	X	X	X	X	X	8	0	0	CAMPBELL 71
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	86	100	CARDENAS 39
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	92	69	91	CARDOZA 26
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	93	100	CEDILLO 46
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	CORBETT 18
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	82	~	CORREA 69
X	X	✓	X	X	X	23	7	~	COX 5
✓	✓	X	✓	✓	NV	83	75	89	CUNNEEN 24
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	92	100	DAVIS 76
X	✓	X	X	X	X	25	14	~	DICKERSON 2
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	85	100	DUCHENY 79
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	92	~	DUTRA 20
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	83	~	FIREBAUGH 50
✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	X	70	36	~	FLOREZ 30
✓	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓	~	80	100	FLOYD 55
NV	X	NV	NV	NV	NV	~*	43	18	FRUSETTA 28
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	86	100	GALLEGOS 57
X	NV	X	✓	X	X	25	14	0	GRANLUND 65
✓	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	92	79	92	HAVICE 56
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	HERTZBERG 40
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	HONDA 23
X	✓	X	✓	X	X	15	0	0	HOUSE 25
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	JACKSON 35
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	KALOOGIAN 74
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	KEELEY 27
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	KNOX 42
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	KUEHL 41

~ - NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

* - MISSED MUCH OF THE SESSION DUE TO ILLNESS

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			COAST	JUSTICE	ENERGY	
	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	54	46	47	50	44	45	60
ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	15	30	28	26	30	28	17
LEACH 15	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X
LEMPERT 21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
LEONARD 63	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X
LONGVILLE 62	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
LOWENTHAL 54	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MACHADO 17	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MADDOX 68	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	✓
MALDONADO 33	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
MARGETT 59	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓
MAZZONI 6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MCCLINTOCK 38	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MIGDEN 13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NAKANO 53	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓
OLBERG 34	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X
OLLER 4	NV	X	X	X	NV	X	X
PACHECO, ROBERT 60	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
PACHECO, ROD 64	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓
PAPAN 19	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓
PESCETTI 10	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
REYES 31	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
ROMERO 49	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
RUNNER 36	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
SCOTT 44	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SHELLEY 12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
STEINBERG 9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
STRICKLAND 37	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
STROM-MARTIN 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
THOMPSON 66	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X
THOMSON 8	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TORLAKSON 11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VILLARAIGOSA 45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VINCENT 51	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WASHINGTON 52	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WAYNE 78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WESSON 47	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓
WIGGINS 7	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WILDMAN 43	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WRIGHT 48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
ZETTEL 75	NV	X	X	X	X	X	✓
VACANT 61							

✓ – PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X – ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV – ABSENT, ABSTAINING OR NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

CONSERVATION 9	GROWTH		WASTE 14	TOXICS 15	TRANSPORTATION 17	2000 FLOOR SCORE	1999 FLOOR SCORE	1998 FLOOR SCORE		
	12	13								
50	51	46	54	43	42					
28	21	30	21	28	30					
X	X	X	X	X	X	8	21	9	LEACH	15
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	LEMPERT	21
X	X	X	X	X	X	8	0	0	LEONARD	63
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	LONGVILLE	62
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	93	~	LOWENTHAL	54
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	100	83	71	MACHADO	17
X	X	X	X	X	X	23	8	~	MADDOX	68
✓	✓	X	NV	✓	NV	82	50	~	MALDONADO	33
✓	✓	X	X	X	X	31	8	0	MARGETT	59
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	MAZZONI	6
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	MCCLINTOCK	38
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	MIGDEN	13
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	92	~	NAKANO	53
X	X	X	X	X	X	8	7	0	OLBERG	34
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	7	0	OLLER	4
X	✓	X	✓	X	X	23	21	~	PACHECO, ROBERT	60
X	X	X	X	X	X	15	29	30	PACHECO, ROD	64
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	85	100	PAPAN	19
X	✓	X	✓	✓	NV	50	43	~	PESCETTI	10
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	75	69	~	REYES	31
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	ROMERO	49
X	X	X	X	X	X	8	7	0	RUNNER	36
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	100	93	100	SCOTT	44
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	SHELLEY	12
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	STEINBERG	9
X	X	X	X	✓	X	0	0	~	STRICKLAND	37
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	92	100	STROM-MARTIN	1
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	THOMPSON	66
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	100	100	100	THOMSON	8
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	TORLAKSON	11
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	VILLARAIGOSA	45
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	100	100	VINCENT	51
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	93	100	WASHINGTON	52
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	WAYNE	78
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	92	~	WESSON	47
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	WIGGINS	7
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	WILDMAN	43
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	67	100	WRIGHT	48
X	X	X	✓	X	NV	18	15	~	ZETTEL	75
									VACANT	61

~ -- NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

SENATE FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			COAST	JUSTICE		ENERGY		CONSERVATION
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	29	23	25	28	23	23	24	21	26
ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTES	8	13	14	10	9	15	8	13	13
ALARCON 20	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ALPERT 39	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BOWEN 28	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BRULTE 31	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BURTON 3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CHESBRO 2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COSTA 16	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	NV	✓
DUNN 34	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ESCUTIA 30	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
FIGUEROA 10	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HAYDEN 23	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HAYNES 36	X	X	X	X	NV	X	NV	X	X
HUGHES 25	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
JOHANNESSEN 4	NV	X	NV	X	NV	X	X	X	X
JOHNSON 35	✓	NV	X	NV	X	X	NV	NV	NV
JOHNSTON 5	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KARNETTE 27	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KELLEY 37	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
KNIGHT 17	X	X	X	X	NV	X	NV	X	X
LESLIE 1	✓	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X
LEWIS 33	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MCPHERSON 15	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	NV	✓	X	✓
MONTEITH 12	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MORROW 38	X	X	X	NV	NV	X	NV	X	X
MOUNTJOY 29	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X
MURRAY 26	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
O'CONNELL 18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ORTIZ 6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓
PEACE 40	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓
PERATA 9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
POLANCO 22	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓
POOCHIGIAN 14	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
RAINEY 7	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X
SCHIFF 21	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
SHER 11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOLIS 24	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOTO 32	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SPEIER 8	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VASCONCELLOS 13	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓
WRIGHT 19	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	NV	NV	X

✓ – PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X – ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV – ABSENT, ABSTAINING OR NOT VOTING

SENATE FLOOR VOTES

CONSERVATION		SMART GROWTH	WASTE	TOXICS		TRANSPOR-TATION	2000 FLOOR SCORE	1999 FLOOR SCORE	1998 FLOOR SCORE		
10	11	13	14	15	16	17					
21	24	24	21	24	22	21	100	100	~	ALARCON	20
11	12	13	11	13	14	10					
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	100	100	~	ALARCON	20
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	100	100	100	ALPERT	39
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	92	100a	BOWEN	28
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	6	17	0	BRULTE	31
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	BURTON	3
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	100	100	~	CHESBRO	2
X	✓	✓	NV	X	X	✓	50	50	56	COSTA	16
NV	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	93	100	~	DUNN	34
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100a	ESCUTIA	30
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100a	FIGUEROA	10
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	HAYDEN	23
NV	NV	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	HAYNES	36
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	HUGHES	25
NV	X	X	X	NV	X	X	0	10	0	JOHANNESSEN	4
X	NV	X	NV	X	NV	NV	~*	14	0	JOHNSON	35
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	100	91	91	JOHNSTON	5
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	KARNETTE	27
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	9	0	KELLEY	37
X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	0	0	0	KNIGHT	17
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	7	13	0	LESLIE	1
X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	0	9	0	LEWIS	33
✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	X	✓	73	100	67	MCPHERSON	15
NV	X	X	NV	X	X	X	0	8	0	MONTEITH	12
X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	0	0	0a	MORROW	38
X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	0	0	0	MOUNTJOY	29
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	100	100	100a	MURRAY	26
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	O'CONNELL	18
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100a	ORTIZ	6
✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	100	100	88	PEACE	40
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100a	PERATA	9
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	POLANCO	22
✓	X	X	NV	X	X	X	7	0	0a	POOCHIGIAN	14
X	X	X	NV	✓	X	X	33	33	0	RAINEY	7
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	SCHIFF	21
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	SHER	11
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	SOLIS	24
NE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	86a	~	SOTO	32
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	~	SPEIER	8
NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	VASCONCELLOS	13
X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	29	0	0	WRIGHT	19


NE -- NOT ELIGIBLE

a -- SCORES ARE FROM THE ASSEMBLY



~ -- NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

* -- MISSED MUCH OF THE SESSION DUE TO FAMILY ILLNESS

Assembly District Scores 1998-2000



Senate District Scores 1998-2000



2001 LEGISLATIVE ROSTER

Following is a list of members of the 2001 California Legislature. To write your legislators, use this address:
The Honorable (legislator's name), California Assembly (or Senate), State Capitol, Sacramento, CA 95814.

ASSEMBLY

District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone	District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone	District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone
1. Virginia Strom-Martin (D)319-2001	28. Simón Salinas (D)319-2028	55. Jenny Oropeza (D)319-2055
2. Richard Dickerson (R)319-2002	29. Mike Briggs (R)319-2029	56. Sally Havice (D)319-2056
3. Sam Aanestad (R)319-2003	30. Dean Florez (D)319-2030	57. Edward Chavez (D)319-2057
4. Tim Leslie (R)319-2004	31. Sarah Reyes (D)319-2031	58. Thomas Calderon (D)319-2058
5. Dave Cox (R)319-2005	32. Roy Ashburn (R)319-2032	59. Dennis Mountjoy (R)319-2059
6. Joe Nation (D)319-2006	33. Abel Maldonado (R) 319-2033	60. Robert Pacheco (R)319-2060
7. Patricia Wiggins (D)319-2007	34. Phil Wyman (R)319-2034	61. Gloria Negrete McLeod (D)	319-2061
8. Helen Thomson (D)319-2008	35. Hannah-Beth Jackson (D)	..319-2035	62. John Longville (D)319-2062
9. Darrell Steinberg (D)319-2009	36. George Runner (R)319-2036	63. Bill Leonard (R)319-2063
10. Anthony Pescetti (R)319-2010	37. Tony Strickland (R)319-2037	64. Rod Pacheco (R)319-2064
11. Joe Canciamilla (D)319-2011	38. Keith Richman (R)319-2038	65. Vacant319-2065
12. Kevin Shelley (D)319-2012	39. Tony Cardenas (D)319-2039	66. Dennis Hollingsworth (R)	..319-2066
13. Carole Migden (D)319-2013	40. Bob Hertzberg (D)319-2040	67. Tom Harman (R)319-2067
14. Dion Aroner (D)319-2014	41. Fran Pavley (D)319-2041	68. Ken Maddox (R)319-2068
15. Lynne Leach (R)319-2015	42. Paul Koretz (D)319-2042	69. Lou Correa (D)319-2069
16. Wilma Chan (D)319-2016	43. Dario Frommer (D)319-2043	70. John Campbell (R)319-2070
17. Barbara Matthews (D)319-2017	44. Carol Liu (D)319-2044	71. Bill Campbell (R)319-2071
18. Ellen Corbett (D)319-2018	45. Jackie Goldberg (D)319-2045	72. Lynn Daucher (R)319-2072
19. Lou Papan (D)319-2019	46. Gil Cedillo (D)319-2046	73. Patricia Bates (R)319-2073
20. John Dutra (D)319-2020	47. Herb Wesson (D)319-2047	74. Mark Wyland (R)319-2074
21. Joe Simitian (D)319-2021	48. Roderick Wright (D) 319-2048	75. Charlene Zettel (R)319-2075
22. Elaine White Alquist (D)319-2022	49. Gloria Romero (D)319-2049	76. Christine Kehoe (D)319-2076
23. Manny Diaz (D)319-2023	50. Marco Firebaugh (D)319-2050	77. Jay La Suer (R)319-2077
24. Rebecca Cohn (D)319-2024	51. Jerome Horton (D)319-2051	78. Howard Wayne (D)319-2078
25. Dave Cogdill (R)319-2025	52. Carl Washington, Jr. (D)319-2052	79. Juan Vargas (D)319-2079
26. Dennis Cardoza (D)319-2026	53. George Nakano (D)319-2053	80. Dave Kelley (R)319-2080
27. Fred Keeley (D)319-2027	54. Alan Lowenthal (D)319-2054		

SENATE

District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone	District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone	District, Member, Party	Capitol Phone
1. Rico Oller (R)445-5788	15. Bruce McPherson (R)445-5843	29. Bob Margett (R)445-2848
2. Wes Chesbro (D)445-3375	16. Jim Costa (D)445-4641	30. Martha Escutia (D)327-8315
3. John Burton (D)445-1412	17. William "Pete" Knight (R)	..445-6637	31. Jim Brulte (R)445-3688
4. Maurice Johannessen (R)445-3353	18. Jack O'Connell (D)445-5405	32. Nell Soto (D)445-6868
5. Mike Machado (D)445-2407	19. Tom McClintock (R)445-8873	33. Richard Ackerman (R)445-4264
6. Deborah Ortiz (D)445-7807	20. Richard Alarcón (D)445-7928	34. Joe Dunn (D)445-5831
7. Tom Torlakson (R)445-6083	21. Jack Scott (D)445-5976	35. Ross Johnson (R)445-4961
8. Jackie Speier (D)445-0503	22. Richard Polanco (D)445-3456	36. Ray Haynes (R)445-9781
9. Don Perata (D)445-6577	23. Sheila Kuehl (D)445-1353	37. Jim Battin (R)445-5581
10. Liz Figueroa (D)445-6671	24. Vacant445-1418	38. Bill Morrow (R)445-3731
11. Byron Sher (D)445-6747	25. Edward Vincent (D)445-2104	39. Deirdre Alpert (D)445-3952
12. Dick Monteith (R)445-1392	26. Kevin Murray (D)445-5215	40. Steve Peace (D)445-6767
13. John Vasconcellos (D)445-9740	27. Betty Karnette (D)445-6447		
14. Charles Poochigian (R)445-9600	28. Debra Bowen (D)445-5953		

ECOVOTE.ORG: FIND THE SCORE ONLINE

The *California Environmental Scorecard* is on the Internet. Check out EcoVote Online, the Web Action Center for the California League of Conservation Voters.

At ecovote.org, you'll find all the bills and votes from the 2000 Scorecard, along with Scorecard archives from previous years. You can now also e-mail the Governor or members of the state legislature and let them know that you know their score.

Ecovote Online also includes CLCV's action alerts, current press releases, endorsements and other information that makes environmental activism easier than ever. The site will be updated regularly to track the most significant environmental bills of the 2001 session. Visit EcoVote Online today at www.ecovote.org.

Share the Score on the Environment

YES! I want more Californians to know how the Legislature rates on environmental protection. I want to help the League spread the word through the California Environmental Scorecard.

- I am joining as a new member in 2001 I am renewing my membership for 2001
- I want to give a gift membership to a friend I am making an additional contribution to help CLCV spread the word to California voters
- \$30 (Basic Membership) \$50 \$100 Other \$ _____

Gift Information:	
Your Name _____	Name _____
Your Address _____	Address _____
City _____ ZIP _____	City _____ ZIP _____
Phone: _____	Phone: _____

Because your gift supports our grassroots electoral work, it is not tax deductible.

Please make your check payable to the California League of Conservation Voters (CLCV), and return it with this form to: CLCV, 1212 Broadway, Suite 630, Oakland, CA 94612. Phone: (510) 271-0900; fax (510) 271-0901.



2000 California Environmental Scorecard

California League of Conservation Voters
1212 Broadway, Suite 630
Oakland, CA 94612

Address Service Requested

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PERMIT #987
VAN NUYS, CA

