

**1995
CALIFORNIA
ENVIRONMENTAL
SCORECARD**



**22ND
ANNUAL GUIDE
TO ENVIRONMENTAL
LEGISLATION AND VOTES**

CONTENTS

1995: Year in Review	1
The Worst of '95	1
Voting Summary	2
Notes on the Scores.....	2
The Agenda: Bill Descriptions	3 - 5
Assembly Floor Chart	6 - 9
Senate Floor Chart.....	10 - 11
Five-Year Averages	12
Legislative Rosters.....	Inside Back Cover

© 1995 California League of Conservation Voters

965 Mission St., Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 896-5550

10951 W. Pico Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064
(310) 441-4162

Internet: clcvsf@igc.apc.org or
<http://www.ecovote.org/ecovote>

Permission granted to quote from or reproduce portions of this publication if properly credited.

Printed on recycled paper using soy-based inks
Designed by Mark Deitch & Associates, Inc., Los Angeles



THE CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

The California League of Conservation Voters is the non-partisan political action arm of California's environmental movement. The League works to protect the environmental quality of the state by working to elect environmentally responsible candidates to state and federal office, then hold them accountable to the environmental agenda.

The League conducts rigorous research on candidates and concentrates on the races where our resources can make a difference. We back our endorsements with expertise, assisting candidates with the media, fundraising and grassroots organizing strategies they need to win. Each election year, we place experienced organizers, known as the Grizzly Corps, in the most crucial environmental contests in the state, then work to get out the vote on Election Day. In 1994, CLCV fielded 20 Grizzlies in 17 campaigns and contributed over \$220,000 on behalf of candidates.

The League is also a legislative watchdog. Each year, we track scores of environmental bills and votes in Sacramento and work to make sure legislators hear from environmental voters. At session's end, we publish the *California Environmental Scorecard* to help voters distinguish between the rhetoric and reality of a lawmaker's record.

This edition of the Scorecard records the most important environmental votes of the 1995 session. Now in its 22nd year, the Scorecard – distributed to 25,000 League members, other environmental organizations and the news media – is the authoritative guide to the state's environmental politics.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Paul Growald, Chairperson
Dian Grueneich, President
Mike Eaton, N. Cal Vice President
Ruth Hunter, S. Cal. Vice President
Fred Woocher, Treasurer
Jane Wingfield, Secretary
Winston Hickox, Past President
Charles Grace, Chairperson Emeritus

Tom Adams	Melinda Bittan
Ann Boren	Kimo Campbell
Nicholas Clinch	Jim Compton
Fran Diamond	Carolyn Green
Cliff Gladstein	Jennifer Hernandez
Paul Helliker	Ann Notthoff
Doug Linney	Tom Soto
Gary Patton	Larry Wan
Terres Unsoeld	Monica Wesolowski
Lynn Wasser	B.J. Wilhoit
V. John White	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The League thanks the following organizations and individuals for their contributions to the 1995 *California Environmental Scorecard*:

American Lung Association	V. John White and Associates
California Native Plant Society	Audubon Society
CalPIRG	Californians Against Waste
Joe Caves	Mountain Lion Preservation Foundation
Planning and Conservation League	Price Consulting
Sierra Club California	Trust for Public Land

1995: A 'WILD RIDE' IN YEAR OF TURMOIL, BUT GREENS HOLD THE LINE

"We've been down a wild river, but we didn't drown."

— Sierra Club lobbyist/
CLCV Board member V. John White,
San Diego Union-Tribune, Sept. 22

To call 1995 a year of turmoil in the Legislature is like calling a hurricane a stiff breeze. The ongoing battle over control of the Assembly produced a year with three Speakers, three politically-motivated recall elections, debates dominated by name-calling, and low productivity. The uncertainty means just about everything about 1995 should be marked with a footnote: Wait 'til next year.

Fueled by contributions from Big Oil and other polluting industries, anti-environmentalists mounted sweeping attacks on California's public health and natural resources – with Gov. Wilson launching his own anti-environmental broadsides in a bid to boost his presidential campaign. The same special interests working in the guise of "regulatory reform" to roll back federal environmental laws brought their agenda to California and produced a flood of bad bills.

The good news: For the most part, environmentalists held the line. The chaos helped, as environmentalists were able to block many of the worst bills in sympathetic committees. But the environmental community also flexed its grassroots muscle. The two worst bills of the year (see

below) both stalled after citizens targeted the Capitol with calls and letters.

Some good bills made it through unscathed. Jim Costa's SB 901 is a landmark in water policy: For the first time, local officials must make sure water supplies are available before approving new development.

But the bills that reached Gov. Wilson found the deck stacked. Of six pro-environment bills sent to him, he vetoed half. Of eight anti-environmental bills, he signed them all.

The oddest thing about 1995 may be that environmental scores are up: For both houses and both parties, the average floor score rose by 3 to 12 points over 1994. Perfect scores rose from 6 to 19, and zeros dropped from 6 to 1.

Several factors contributed, including the strong bipartisan support for several conservation bills, and the fact that many of the worst bills had no floor votes because of the disorganization. Also welcome were an emerging group of moderately green-leaning Republicans, unfortunately accompanied by the sharp anti-environmental turn of several Democrats.

In both parties, the polarization now is between true believers in the myth of "jobs vs. the environment" and those who realize protecting the environment is about protecting the public health of 33 million Californians. Unless more lawmakers listen to the people instead of special interests, 1996 promises more of the same.

THE WORST OF '95 – SB 1180, SB 1307

The two worst bills of the session never made it to a final vote – and one was never voted on at all. Both were by Sen. Charles Calderon: SB 1180 would have gutted the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and SB 1307 attacked the state's Safe Drinking Water Act.

SB 1180, written by the Wilson Administration, was the most serious attack ever mounted against CEQA – an environmental Bill of Rights that guarantees citizens a voice in decisions about environmentally harmful development projects. Under current law, developers must prove that their projects will not harm the environment. SB 1180 would have reversed the burden of proof, so that citizens who wish to challenge a project would first have to prove it would be harmful.

After SB 1180 passed the Senate in innocuous form, Wilson and Calderon "hijacked" it. But before a crucial vote in the Assembly Natural Resources Committee, environmentalists mobilized voters to contact their legislators in protest.

Grassroots pressure forced a delay of the vote, and as the anti-1180 campaign gained momentum, Calderon withdrew the provisions gutting CEQA. Another assault on CEQA is expected in 1996.

SB 1307 was backed by the major oil companies, who spent \$1.8 million pushing it. It would lower state drinking water standards for the pesticide DBCP and other contaminants, jeopardizing the health of the most vulnerable populations. It would prohibit the state from setting stricter standards than the federal government – a shortsighted move when Congress is considering lowering federal standards.

Again, environmentalists mounted a grassroots and media campaign against the bill. With three days left in the session, Calderon agreed to postpone its hearing in the Senate Toxics Committee until the beginning of the 1996 session. Environmentalists remain firmly opposed to this attack on public health, and have set the highest priority on stopping SB 1307.

1995 VOTING SUMMARY

ASSEMBLY

Average Republican Assembly floor score: 21

In 1994: 9

Average Democratic Assembly floor score: 85

In 1994: 77

Percentage of Assembly Republicans scoring lower than 20: 61

In 1994: 94

Percentage of Assembly Democrats scoring higher than 80: 72

In 1994: 45

GOP Assembly members with scores of 50+:

Cunneen (67), Firestone (56), McPherson (54)

Perfect 100s:

Bates, V. Brown, Burton, B. Campbell, Escutia, Friedman, Isenberg,
Katz, Knox, Lee, Mazzoni, Sher, Speier, Sweeney, Vasconcellos, Villaraigosa

Zeros: none

SENATE

Average Republican Senate floor score: 14

In 1994: 11

Average Democratic Senate floor score: 76

In 1994: 71

Percentage of Senate Republicans scoring lower than 20: 76

In 1994: 80

Percentage of Senate Democrats scoring higher than 80: 48

In 1994: 40

Republican Senators with scores of 50+: T. Campbell (53)

Perfect 100s: Dills, Rosenthal, Solis

Zeros: Rogers

THE GOVERNOR

Pro-environmental bills signed: 3

Pro-environmental bills vetoed: 3

Anti-environmental bills signed: 8

Anti-environmental bills vetoed: 0

Bill Score: 21

In 1994: 33

MOST IMPROVED

Alquist +42

Vasconcellos +32

Escutia +29

Napolitano +27

Allen +24

Ayala +23

T. Campbell +21

Isenberg, O'Connell +20

WORST DECLINES

M. Thompson -33

Calderon -28

Cannella -24

Baca -19

Cortese -19

Hauser -19

Beverly -11

Boatwright -11

NOTES ON THE SCORES

The Scorecard evaluates votes by the 1995 California Legislature. Bills listed are not all the environmental legislation of the session, but those judged most important by the environmental community. The votes used for scoring may not be the final roll-call. The Scorecard panel decided which votes were most indicative for each bill.

Pro-environment votes are designated by ✓; anti-environmen-

tal votes by ✗. Members who did not vote are marked NV, and those not eligible for a vote as NE. Final scores are the percentage of pro-environment votes cast, not counting absences.

Legislators who voted in committee on at least three Scorecard bills also received committee scores, shown on the floor chart. Charts detailing committee votes are available on the Internet, through EcoVote Online at <http://www.ecovote.org/ecovote>.

THE AGENDA: BILLS THAT MAKE UP THE SCORES

✓	PRO-ENVIRONMENTALBILL
X	ANTI-ENVIRONMENTALBILL
👍	PRO-ENVIRONMENTALACTION BYGOVERNOR
👎	ANTI-ENVIRONMENTALACTION BYGOVERNOR
[C]	SCORING ON COMMITTEE VOTES ONLY

AIR QUALITY

1. ZERO-EMISSION VEHICLES (ZEVS) ✓ [C]

State law requires that by 1998, two percent of vehicles offered for sale in California must not emit air pollutants, increasing to 10 percent by 2003. AB 1318 (KUEHL) would boost the market for ZEVs by exempting them from state sales tax for five years.

Held in Assembly Appropriations Committee.

2. RESTRICT CLEAN-TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH X

By imposing cumbersome bureaucratic review processes on air-quality agencies, SB 199 (KELLEY) will make it harder for the state Air Resources Board and other agencies to conduct research on or development of clean fuels and vehicles.

👍 Signed into law.

3. WEAKER POLLUTION-CONTROL TECHNOLOGY X

SB 456 (KELLEY) will virtually eliminate ability of the South Coast air district to require new technologies to improve air quality. It will effectively prevent adoption of any control technology standards stricter than federal standards.

👍 Signed into law.

4. AIRBORNE PARTICULATES STUDY ✓

SB 490 (SOLIS) would have authorized a study on the health effects of airborne particles from sand, gravel and rock quarries. This so-called PM-10 pollution may already have reduced average life expectancy in Los Angeles by three years.

Died in Assembly Natural Resources.

5. VEHICLE SCRAPPAGE VS. ZEVS X [C]

Getting old, high-polluting cars off the road is a good idea, but SB 501 (CALDERON) was not. The bill undercuts ZEV goals by letting industries earn pollution credits through junking old vehicles. The original bill would have funded scrappage by letting motorists pay a fee in lieu of having their cars smog-checked. (Opposition withdrawn after amendment in Senate Transportation.)

Signed into law.

WATER QUALITY

6. DUMPING OIL X [C]

SB 649 (COSTA) would take the teeth out of a 123-year old law strictly prohibiting dumping oil into streams, lakes or the ocean. It would make dumping a crime only if authorities could *prove* it harmed a fish, bird or plant.

Held in Assembly Water, Parks & Wildlife.

7. LOWER DRINKING WATER STANDARDS X

SB 1307 (CALDERON) would water down the California Safe Drinking Water Act by relaxing controls on the banned pesticide DBCP, as well as other water-borne contaminants. The state could not set any standards stricter than the federal government's.

Held in Senate Toxics.

TOXICS

8. HANDLING OF TOXIC MATERIALS X

By preventing local agencies from regulating the delivery, transfer or handling of the most hazardous materials – leaving responsibility in the hands of industry – AB 42 (BACA) would significantly increase the possibility of a disastrous toxics spill.

Held in Senate Toxics.

9. HAZARDOUS PESTICIDES X

By state law, certain pesticides will be banned unless health studies on their effects are completed by March 1996. SB 808 (MONTEITH) would have extended the deadline for methyl bromide, an ozone destroyer, and pentachlorophenol, a known carcinogen.

Died in Senate Health & Human Services.

WILDLIFE & ENDANGERED SPECIES

10. GUT U. S. ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT X

AJR 16 (SETENCICH) is a legislative resolution urging Congress to adopt a law – pushed by the so-called “Wise Use” movement – severely weakening the federal Endangered Species Act. The law would force the government to pay owners of endangered species habitat and restrict citizens’ participation in ESA decisions.

Held in Senate Natural Resources & Wildlife.


11, 12, 13. GUT CALIFORNIA ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT ✗

Three bills aimed at gutting the state Endangered Species Act. AB 137 (OLBERG) would allow protection for an endangered species only by act of the Legislature – not scientific decisions by wildlife experts. AB 350 (BUSTAMANTE) would establish an insurmountable set of barriers to the process of listing a species as endangered. And SB 131 (MADDY), backed by the Wilson Administration, would repeal any protection for endangered species habitat – ignoring the fact that if you wipe out a species’ habitat, it can’t survive. (SB 131: **[C]**)

Held in Senate Natural Resources & Wildlife.

14. MOUNTAIN LION HUNTING / RAID HABITAT FUND ✗


The National Rifle Association sponsored SB 28 (LESLIE), which calls a March 1996 referendum to resume sport hunting of mountain lions. It would also allow the state to deplete a fund that puts \$30 million a year into protection of wildlife habitat.

 Signed into law.

FORESTRY

15. ‘SUSTAINED YIELD’ LOGGING ✗

AB 1937 (OLBERG) will let timber companies file ‘sustained yield’ logging plans that not only allow the companies themselves to determine how many trees they cut, but permit this virtually unrestricted logging to continue for up to 10 years.

 Signed into law.


16. PUBLIC REVIEW ✓

SB 1104 (HAYDEN) would have given the state Forestry Department more time to review and gather public input on logging plans. It would also have given citizens the right to appeal an approved logging plan to the state Board of Forestry.

Died in Assembly Natural Resources.

17. RESTRICT MITIGATION & PUBLIC INPUT ✗

SB 1283 (LESLIE) will make it harder for state foresters to mitigate harmful impacts of logging plans, by forcing them to cite statutory authority for requested mitigation measures. It will also have a chilling effect on public input by requiring state agencies to report any inquiries from citizens concerned about a logging plan.

 Signed into law.

CONSERVATION


18. MARIN OPEN SPACE ✓

AB 1830 (MAZZONI) would have allowed Marin County to call a referendum on creating a special tax assessment district to acquire and preserve open space and agricultural land.

 Vetoed.


19. AGRICULTURAL LAND ✓

SB 275 (COSTA) establishes the Agricultural Land Stewardship Fund to buy agricultural easements – contracts with farmers who promise to keep their land in crops rather than sell to a developer.

 Signed into law.

20. WATER SUPPLY PLANNING ✓

A landmark in California water policy, SB 901 (COSTA) for the first time requires local governments to consider the availability of water supplies before building new suburban developments.

 Signed into law.

21. CALIFORNIA LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION ACT ✓


SB 1280 (O’CONNELL) would give property owners a tax credit for donating land for environmental protection and agricultural preservation.

Held in Assembly Water, Parks & Wildlife.

MINING

22. PIT MINES ✗

SB 273 (LESLIE) exempts shallow pit mines dug during road-building – even those bigger than an acre – from all environmental provisions of the State Mining and Reclamation Act.

 Signed into law.

TRANSPORTATION

23. TRANSIT VILLAGES ✓

Last year the Legislature enacted a law promoting environmentally sound mixed-use development near rail transit stations. AB 1338 (SWEENEY) would have boosted those efforts, with a mechanism to fund local planning for these transit villages.

 Vetoed.


24. ELIMINATE INTER-CITY RAIL FUNDS X

SB 160 (KOPP) would have eliminated the only ongoing source of state funding for improvements to rail transportation between cities. Rail development – along with a number of other programs for environmentally-friendly transit – must now compete head-to-head for funding with highway projects.

Died on Senate floor.

25. PROHIBIT TRIP-REDUCTION PROGRAMS X


SB 437 (LEWIS) is a setback for efforts to reduce traffic and smog. It prohibits public agencies from requiring businesses to develop ride-sharing or other programs to reduce the number of employees who drive alone to work.

 Signed into law.

ENFORCEMENT & REGULATION

26. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ✓

AB 1174 (CUNNEEN) establishes a five-year period to bring a civil suit against industrial water polluters. The five years begins when officials discover the violation, not when it is committed.

 Signed into law.

27. 'COST EFFECTIVENESS' / 'RISK ANALYSIS' X

Another “Wise Use” bill: AB 1659 (WOODS) would set up a red-tape bureaucracy to stymie environmental enforcement. Regulations would be subject to complicated analyses of their “cost effectiveness” and the health and safety risks they address.

Held in Senate Government Operations.

28. CITIZEN WATCHDOGS ✓

SB 6 (HAYDEN) would allow citizens to bring suit in state courts against polluters of the ocean, coastal waters, bays and estuaries. Federal courts allow these suits, but because some state laws are more strict than federal law, they can't be enforced by federal suits.

Left in Senate Inactive File.

29. LOCAL EXEMPTIONS FROM ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS X


SB 19 (JOHANNESSEN) would let some local governments ignore many state environmental laws. It says that, unless fully funded by the Legislature, certain state-mandated programs don't apply to cities under 25,000 or counties under 50,000 population.

Held in Assembly Government Operations.

CONSUMER PROTECTION

30. REPEAL TRUTH IN ADVERTISING X

California's environmental-advertising law was the nation's best, setting clear standards for products labeled “recycled,” “biodegradable” or “ozone-friendly.” SB 426 (LESLIE) repeals the law, making it harder for consumers to know what they're buying.

 Signed into law.

31. 'LIBEL' OF FOOD PRODUCTS X [C]

SB 492 (COSTA) would stifle debate on food safety by allowing agricultural producers to bring libel suits against watchdog groups who issue warnings about food pesticide-tainted food products.

Held in Senate Judiciary.

CAMPAIGN REFORM

32. INITIATIVE FUNDING DISCLOSURE ✓

SB 198 (KOPP) would have required that ads for ballot-measure campaigns disclose the campaign's top two funders. Voters should be able to evaluate campaign ads based on who paid for them.

 Vetoed.

EDUCATION

33. ENVIRONMENTAL INSTRUCTION ✓

SB 1235 (HAYDEN) would direct the state to develop a public-school curriculum for education on environmental stewardship, natural resources and threats to environmental quality.

Held in Assembly Appropriations.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES


SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR	WATER	TOXICS		WILDLIFE				FORESTRY	CONSERVATION		
	2	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	18	19	20
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	27	26	25	27	33	30	27	30	28	45	66	70
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	45	42	46	48	42	41	44	44	45	35	3	0
ACKERMAN R-72	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	X	NE	X	✓	✓
AGUIAR R-41	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV
ALBY R-5	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
ALLEN R-47	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
ALPERT D-78	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ARCHIE-HUDSON D-48	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
BACA D-62	X	X	X	✓	NV	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
BALDWIN R-77	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
BATES D-14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BATTIN R-80	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	✓	✓
BOLAND R-38	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
BORDONARO R-33	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓
BOWEN D-53	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BOWLER R-10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
BREWER R-70	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
BROWN, V. D-7	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BROWN, W. D-13	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV
BRULTE R-63	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV
BURTON D-12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BUSTAMANTE D-31	X	NV	NV	X	X	X	X	NV	X	✓	✓	✓
CALDERA D-46	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓
CAMPBELL D-11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CANNELLA D-26	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
CONROY R-71	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	✓	✓
CORTESE D-23	NV	X	X	X	NV	NV	NV	NV	X	✓	✓	✓
CUNNEEN R-24	X	NV	X	NV	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
DAVIS D-76	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
DUCHENY D-79	NV	X	NV	✓	✓	NV	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓
ESCUTIA D-50	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓
FIGUEROA D-20	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV
FIRESTONE R-35	✓	X	✓	X	X	NV	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
FRIEDMAN D-40	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	NV
FRUSETTA R-28	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
GALLEGOS D-57	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GOLDSMITH R-75	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
GRANLUND R-65	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
HANNIGAN D-8	X	NV	NV	X	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV
HARVEY R-32	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
HAUSER D-1	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
HAWKINS R-56	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓

✓ - PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X - ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV - NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

TRANSPORTATION		ENFORCEMENT		CON PR	CAMP FIN	1995 FLOOR SCORE	1994 FLOOR SCORE	1995 COMM. SCORE	CONTINUED 
23	25	26	27	30	32				
49	22	52	21	27	55				
17	51	12	49	41	12				
NE	X	NE	NE	NE	X	33 ¹	~	~	ACKERMAN R-72
X	X	NV	X	X	NV	7	5	0	AGUIAR R-41
X	X	X	X	X	NV	12	10	0	ALBY R-5
✓	X	NV	X	X	✓	29	5	20	ALLEN R-47
✓	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	88	75	~	ALPERT D-78
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	94	63	~	ARCHIE-HUDSON D-48
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	41	60	33	BACA D-62
✓	X	NV	X	X	NV	19	~	17	BALDWIN R-77
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	89	BATES D-14
✓	X	NV	X	NV	✓	27	~	0	BATTIN R-80
✓	X	X	X	X	X	11	0	0	BOLAND R-38
X	X	✓	X	X	NV	12	~	0	BORDONARO R-33
✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	94	85	100	BOWEN D-53
X	X	✓	X	X	X	17	9	~	BOWLER R-10
✓	X	NV	X	X	✓	24	~	0	BREWER R-70
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	95	100	BROWN, V. D-7
NV	NV	✓	X	NV	✓	90	81	~	BROWN, W. D-13
X	X	X	X	NV	NV	7	5	~	BRULTE R-63
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	91	100	BURTON D-12
✓	NV	✓	X	NV	✓	46	50	38	BUSTAMANTE D-31
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	94	95	~	CALDERA D-46
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	90	100	CAMPBELL, R. D-11
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	33	57	20	CANNELLA D-26
✓	X	✓	X	X	X	24	10	~	CONROY R-71
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	46	65	~	CORTESI D-23
✓	X	✓	X	NV	✓	67	~	~	CUNNEEN R-24
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	76	~	~	DAVIS D-76
✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	79	79	33	DUCHENY D-79
NV	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	100	71	~	ESCIUTIA D-50
✓	X	✓	NV	NV	✓	92	~	100	FIGUEROA D-20
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	56	~	33	FIRESTONE R-35
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	89	100	FRIEDMAN D-40
✓	X	✓	X	X	NV	29	~	0	FRUSETTA R-28
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	78	~	~	GALLEGOS D-57
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	28	20	0	GOLDSMITH R-75
X	X	X	X	X	NV	12	~	~	GRANLUND R-65
✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	82	76	~	HANNIGAN D-8
X	X	✓	X	X	✓	22	5	~	HARVEY R-32
NV	X	✓	X	X	✓	69	88	100	HAUSER D-1
NV	X	✓	X	X	✓	24	~	~	HAWKINS R-56

NE – NOT ELIGIBLE

~ – NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR	WATER	TOXICS		WILDLIFE				FORESTRY	CONSERVATION		
	2	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	18	19	20
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	27	26	25	27	33	30	27	30	28	45	66	70
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	45	42	46	48	42	41	44	44	45	35	3	0
HOGUE R-44	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
HOUSE R-25	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
ISENBERG D-9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KALOOGIAN R-74	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
KATZ D-39	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KNIGHT R-36	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	✓	✓
KNOWLES R-4	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
KNOX D-42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KUEHL D-41	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KUYKENDALL R-54	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
LEE D-16	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MACHADO D-17	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
MARGETT R-59	X	X	NE	X	X	NE	NE	X	NE	X	NV	NV
MARTINEZ D-49	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
MAZZONI D-6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MC DONALD D-55	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MC PHERSON R-27	X	NV	X	X	✓	NV	NV	NV	X	✓	✓	✓
MILLER R-60	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
MORRISSEY R-69	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
MORROW R-73	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
MURRAY, K. D-47	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
MURRAY, W. D-52	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
NAPOUTANO D-58	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
OLBERG R-34	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
POOCHIGIAN R-29	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
PRINGLE R-68	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	NV	✓
RAINEY R-15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	✓
RICHTER R-3	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	✓	NV
ROGAN R-43	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
SETENCICH R-30	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
SHER D-21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SPEIER D-19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SWEENEY D-18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
TAKASUGI R-37	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
THOMPSON, B. R-66	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV
TUCKER D-51	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
VASCONCELLOS D-22	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓
VILLARAIGOSA D-45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
WEGGELAND R-64	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV
WOODS R-2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓

✓ - PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X - ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV - NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

TRANSPORTATION		ENFORCEMENT		CON PR	CAMP FIN	1995 FLOOR SCORE	1994 FLOOR SCORE	1995 COMM. SCORE		
23	25	26	27	30	32					
49	22	52	21	27	55					
17	51	12	49	41	12					
X	X	X	X	NV	X	12	5	~	HOGUE	E-44
X	X	✓	X	X	X	22	~	~	HOUSE	E-25
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	80	75	ISENBERG	D-9
X	X	✓	X	X	NV	18	~	~	KALOGGIAN	E-74
✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	100	90	100	KATZ	D-39
X	X	NV	X	X	NV	13	5	~	KNIGHT	E-36
X	X	X	X	X	X	12	5	~	KNOWLES	E-4
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	~	~	KNOX	D-42
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	94	~	100	KUEHL	D-41
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	33	~	~	KUYKENDALL	E-54
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	90	100	LEE	D-16
✓	X	NV	X	X	✓	31	~	~	MACHADO	D-17
X	X	NE	NE	X	✓	10 ^a	~	33	MARGETT	E-59
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	83	75	67	MARTINEZ	D-49
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	~	100	MAZZONI	D-6
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	88	72	~	MCDONALD	D-55
✓	X	✓	X	NV	✓	54	45	~	MCPHERSON	E-27
X	X	NE	X	X	NV	13 ^a	~	~	MILLER	E-60
NV	X	✓	X	X	X	18	~	0	MORRISSEY	E-69
X	X	✓	X	NV	X	19	5	~	MORROW	E-73
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	94	~	100	MURRAY, K.	D-47
✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	56	61	~	MURRAY, W.	D-52
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	94	67	~	NAPOLITANO	D-58
NV	X	X	X	X	X	12	~	0	OLBERG	E-34
NV	X	X	X	X	NV	13	~	0	POOCHIGIAN	E-29
X	X	X	X	X	NV	7	5	0	PRINGLE	E-68
✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	24	18	0	RAINEY	E-15
NV	X	✓	X	X	X	13	11	0	RICHTER	E-3
NV	X	✓	X	X	✓	24	6	0	ROGAN	E-43
NV	X	X	X	X	✓	19	~	0	SETENCICH	E-30
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	SHER	D-21
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	86	100	SPEIER	D-19
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	~	100	SWEENEY	D-18
✓	X	NV	X	X	NV	19	18	9	TAKASUGI	E-37
X	X	X	X	X	X	6	~	0	THOMPSON, B.	E-66
✓	X	✓	NV	X	✓	59	67	~	TUCKER	D-51
✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	100	68	~	VASCONCELLOS	D-22
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	~	100	VILLARAIGOSA	D-45
NV	X	X	X	X	✓	13	5	~	WEGGELAND	E-64
NV	X	NV	X	X	✓	19	~	0	WOODS	E-2

NE – NOT ELIGIBLE

~ – NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

SENATE FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR		WILDLIFE		FORESTRY		CONSERVATION				MINING	TRANSPORTATION		
	3	4	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	11	22	8	9	21	9	21	30	22	30	12	21	10	13
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	22	17	26	27	17	27	13	6	11	3	23	14	26	24
ALQUIST D-13	NV	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓
AYALA D-32	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
BEVERLY R-27	X	X	NV	NV	X	X	NV	NV	X	✓	NV	NV	X	NV
BOATWRIGHT D-7	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	NV	✓	X	NV	NV	✓	X	✓
CALDERON D-30	X	✓	X	NV	X	X	✓	✓	NV	✓	X	NV	X	X
CAMPBELL R-11	✓	✓	X	NV	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	X
COSTA D-16	NV	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
CRAVEN R-38	NV	✓	X	X	X	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	X	X	X	X
DILLS D-28	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
GREENE D-6	X	✓	NV	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	NV	✓
HAYDEN D-23	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓
HAYNES R-36	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	✓	NV	X	X	X
HUGHES D-25	✓	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
HURTT R-34	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV	NV	X	X	X	X
JOHANNESSEN R-4	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X
JOHNSON R-35	NE	NV	X	X	NV	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X
JOHNSTON D-5	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X
KELLEY R-37	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X
KILLEA I-39	NV	✓	X	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	X	X
KOPP I-8	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓
LEONARD R-31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X
LES LIE R-1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV	NV	X	X	X	X
LEWIS R-33	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	NV	X	X
LOCKYER D-10	X	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	X
MADDY R-14	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X
MARKS D-3	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓
MELLO D-15	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓
MONTEITH R-12	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	✓	X	X	X	X	X
MOUNTJOY R-29	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X
O'CONNELL D-18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PEACE D-40	X	✓	NV	X	✓	X	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	NV	X
PETRIS D-9	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV
POLANCO D-22	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV
ROGERS R-17	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X
ROSENTHAL D-20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
RUSSELL R-21	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X
SOLIS D-24	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
THOMPSON, M. D-2	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
WATSON D-26	NV	✓	NV	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WRIGHT R-19	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X

✓ - PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X - ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV - NOT VOTING

SENATE FLOOR VOTES


ENFORCEMENT			CON PR	CAMP FIN	EDU	1995 FLOOR SCORE	1994 FLOOR SCORE	1995 COMM. SCORE	
26	28	29	30	32	33				
21 14	17 21	9 24	10 23	28 7	21 15				
✓	X	NV	✓	✓	✓	75	33	~	ALQUIST D-13
✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	55	32	0	AYALA D-32
NV	X	X	X	NV	NV	10	21	~	BEVERLY R-27
✓	✓	X	X	✓	NV	56	67	0	BOATWRIGHT D-7
NV	✓	X	NV	NV	✓	43	71	67	CAIDRON D-30
✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	53	32	33	CAMPBELL, T. R-11
X	X	X	NV	✓	✓	44	45	~	COSTA D-16
✓	NV	X	X	✓	NV	36	27	~	CRAVEN R-38
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	100	88	~	DILLS D-28
✓	X	NV	NV	✓	✓	71	53	~	GREENE D-6
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	95	89	100	HAYDEN D-23
X	X	X	X	X	X	6	6	~	HAYNES R-36
✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	89	73	~	HUGHES D-25
X	X	X	X	X	X	6	0	~	HURTT R-34
X	X	X	X	✓	X	20	10	14	JOHANNESSEN R-4
✓	X	NV	X	NV	X	20	10	~	JOHNSON R-35
NV	✓	X	X	✓	✓	58	67	64	JOHNSTON D-5
X	X	X	X	X	X	15	11	0	KELLEY R-37
NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	77	87	88	KILLEA I-39
✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	60	58	33	KOPP I-8
X	X	X	X	X	X	10	6	~	LEONARD R-31
X	X	X	X	✓	X	11	0	0	LESLIE R-1
X	X	X	X	X	X	5	5	~	LEWIS R-33
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	76	78	67	LOCKYER D-10
X	X	X	X	✓	X	15	15	~	MADDY R-14
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	89	100	~	MARKS D-3
✓	NV	NV	X	✓	✓	81	75	75	MELLO D-15
X	X	X	X	✓	X	11	~	0	MONTEITH R-12
X	X	X	X	X	X	5	0	~	MOUNTJOY R-29
✓	✓	X	✓	NV	✓	95	75	100	O'CONNELL D-18
✓	X	NV	X	✓	✓	56	47	~	PEACE D-40
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	94	90	100	PETRIS D-9
✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓	79	60	~	POLANCO D-22
X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	0	ROGERS R-17
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	100	ROSENTHAL D-20
X	X	X	X	✓	X	10	5	0	RUSSELL R-21
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	95	100	SOLIS D-24
✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	55	88	45	THOMPSON, M. D-2
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	94	83	~	WATSON D-26
X	X	X	X	✓					

NE - NOT ELIGIBLE


-- NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

FIVE-YEAR AVERAGES


ASSEMBLY



SENATE



GOV. PETE WILSON



1996 ASSEMBLY ROSTER

Address correspondence to: The Hon. (Member's Name), State Capitol, Sacramento 95814.
If you don't know your Assembly member's name, call the League at (800) 755-3224, ext. 125.

District, Member, Party	Office Phone (Area Code 916)	District, Member, Party	Office Phone (Area Code 916)
1. Dan Hauser (D)	445-8360	40. Barbara Friedman (D)	445-7644
2. Tom Woods (R)	445-7266	41. Sheila James Kuehl (D)	445-4956
3. Bernie Richter (R)	445-7298	42. Wally Knox (D)	445-7440
4. David Knowles (R)	445-8343	43. James E. Rogan (R)	445-8364
5. Barbara Alby (R)	445-4445	45. Antonio Villaraigosa (D)	445-0703
6. Kerry Mazzoni (D)	445-7783	46. Louis Caldera (D)	445-4843
7. Valerie K. Brown (D)	445-8492	47. Kevin Murray (D)	445-8800
8. Thomas M. Hannigan (D)	445-8368	48. Marguerita Archie-Hudson (D)	445-2363
9. Phillip Isenberg (D)	445-1611	49. Diane Martinez (D)	445-7852
10. Larry Bowler (R)	445-7402	50. Martha M. Escutia (D)	445-8188
11. Robert J. Campbell	445-7890	51. Curtis R. Tucker, Jr. (D)	445-7533
12. John Burton (D)	445-8253	52. Willard Murray (D)	445-7486
13. VACANT (Special Election 3/26)		53. Debra Bowen (D)	445-8528
14. Tom Bates (D)	445-7554	54. Steven T. Kuykendall (R)	445-9234
15. Richard K. Rainey (R)	445-6161	55. Juanita M. McDonald (D)	445-3134
16. Barbara Lee (D)	445-7442	56. Phil Hawkins (R)	445-6047
17. Mike Machado (D)	445-7931	57. Martin Gallegos (D)	445-7610
18. Michael Sweeney (D)	445-8160	58. Grace Napolitano (D)	445-0965
19. Jackie Speier (D)	445-8020	59. Bob Margett (R)	445-7234
20. Liz Figueroa (D)	445-7874	60. Gary Miller (R)	445-7550
21. Byron D. Sher (D)	445-7632	61. Fred Aguiar (R)	445-1670
22. John Vasconcellos (D)	445-4253	62. Joe Baca (D)	445-7454
23. Dominic Cortese (Reform)	445-8243	63. James Brulte (R)	445-8490
24. Jim Cunneen (R)	445-8305	64. Ted Weggeland (R)	445-0854
25. George House (R)	445-7906	65. Brett Granlund (R)	445-7552
26. Sal Cannella (D)	445-8570	66. Bruce Thompson (R)	445-1676
27. Bruce McPherson (R)	445-8496	67. Scott Baugh (R)	445-6233
28. Peter Frusetta (R)	445-7380	68. Curt Pringle (R)	445-8377
29. Charles S. Poochigian (R)	445-2931	69. Jim Morrissey (R)	445-7333
30. Brian Setencich (R)	445-7558	70. Marilyn C. Brewer (R)	445-7222
31. Cruz M. Bustamante (D)	445-8514	71. Mickey Conroy (R)	445-2778
32. Trice Harvey (R)	445-8498	72. Richard Ackerman (R)	445-7448
33. Tom J. Bordonaro, Jr. (R)	445-7795	73. Bill Morrow (R)	445-7676
34. Keith Olberg (R)	445-8102	74. Howard Kaloogian (R)	445-2390
35. Brooks Firestone (R)	445-8292	75. Jan Goldsmith (R)	445-2484
36. William J. Knight (R)	445-7498	76. Susan A. Davis (D)	445-7210
37. Nao Takasugi (R)	445-7827	77. Steve Baldwin (R)	445-3266
38. Paula Boland (R)	445-8366	78. Dede Alpert (D)	445-2112
39. Richard Katz (D)	445-1616	79. Denise Moreno Ducheny	445-7556
		80. Jim Battin (R)	445-5416

1996 SENATE ROSTER

Address correspondence to: The Hon. (Senator's Name), State Capitol, Sacramento 95814.
If you don't know your senator's name, call the League at (800) 755-3224, ext. 125.

District, Senator, Party	Office Phone (Area Code 916)	District, Senator, Party	Office Phone (Area Code 916)
1. Tim Leslie (R)	445-5788	21. Newton R. Russell (R)	445-5976
2. Mike Thompson (D)	445-3375	22. Richard Polanco (D)	445-3456
3. Milton Marks (D)	445-1412	23. Tom Hayden (D)	445-1353
4. Marice Johannessen (R)	445-3353	24. Hilda Solis (D)	445-1418
5. Patrick Johnston (D)	445-2407	25. Teresa P. Hughes (D)	445-2104
6. Leroy Greene (D)	445-7807	26. Diane E. Watson (D)	445-5215
7. Daniel E. Boatwright (D)	445-6083	27. Robert G. Beverly (R)	445-6447
8. Quentin Kopp (Ind.)	445-0503	28. Ralph C. Dills (D)	445-5953
9. Nicholas C. Petris (D)	445-6577	29. Richard Mountjoy (R)	445-2848
10. Bill Lockyer (D)	445-6671	30. Charles Calderon (D)	327-8315
11. VACANT (Special Election 3/26)		31. Bill Leonard (R)	445-3688
12. Dick Monteith (R)	445-1392	32. Ruben S. Ayala (D)	445-6868
13. Alfred E. Alquist (D)	445-9740	33. John R. Lewis (R)	445-4264
14. Ken Maddy (R)	445-9600	34. Rob Hurtt (R)	445-5831
15. Henry Mello (D)	445-5843	35. Ross Johnson (R)	445-4961
16. Jim Costa (D)	445-4641	36. Raymond N. Haynes (R)	445-9781
17. Don Rogers (R)	445-6637	37. David G. Kelley (R)	445-5581
18. Jack O'Connell (D)	445-5405	38. William A. Craven (R)	445-3731
19. Cathie Wright (R)	445-8873	39. Lucy Killea (Ind.)	445-3952
20. Herschel Rosenthal (D)	445-7928	40. Steve Peace (D)	445-6767

ECOVOTE ONLINE: THE ELECTRONIC SCORECARD

The *California Environmental Scorecard* is now on the Internet. Check out EcoVote Online, the California League of Conservation Voters' newly opened site on the World Wide Web.

You'll find all the bills and votes – including key committee votes – from the 1995 scorecard online now, with archives of prior years coming soon. EcoVote Online also includes the League's current press releases, Action Alerts, endorsements and more.

The site will be updated regularly to track the most significant environmental bills of the 1996 session. Just point your Web browser to: <http://www.ecovote.org/ecovote>.



1995 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

California League of Conservation Voters
965 Mission St., Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94103
Address Correction Requested

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PERMIT #987
VAN NUYS, CA